

***Effective November 1, 2023, refer to CMS Manual 100-02, Chapter 16-General Exclusions from Coverage for services included in this policy.***



**BlueCross BlueShield  
of Alabama**

---

**Name of Blue Advantage Policy:**  
**Serum Biomarker Tests for Multiple Sclerosis**

Policy #: 563

Latest Review Date: August 2023

Category: Laboratory

**ARCHIVED EFFECTIVE 11/1/2023**

---

**BACKGROUND:**

*Blue Advantage medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:*

- 1. Safe and effective;*
- 2. Not experimental or investigational\*;*
- 3. Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:*
  - Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;*
  - Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;*
  - Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;*
  - One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and*
  - At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

*\*Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000 which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill **Original Medicare** for covered services that are related to **clinical trials** that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).*

## **POLICY:**

**Blue Advantage** will treat **serum biomarker tests for multiple sclerosis** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** in all situations.

*Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.*

## **DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:**

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an immune-mediated inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system defined by multifocal areas of demyelination with loss of oligodendrocytes and astroglial scarring. The most common presenting symptoms are sensory disturbances, weakness and visual disturbances. The disease has a highly variable pace and many atypical forms. MS is primarily diagnosed clinically. The core requirement for diagnosis is the demonstration of central nervous system lesion dissemination in time and space, based upon either clinical findings alone or a combination of clinical and MRI findings. The history and physical examination are most important for diagnostic purposes. MRI is the test of choice to support the clinical diagnosis of MS. Prognosis is hard to predict, which has prompted interest in identifying biomarkers that are associated with disease progression.

Several biomarkers have been proposed as useful for MS diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy response prediction that need to be validated in further studies.

Commercially available serum biomarker tests have been proposed as useful for the diagnosis, prognosis prediction, and therapy response prediction of MS. Some examples of commercially available tests for this purpose include:

- gMS<sup>®</sup> Dx, which is a blood test designed to be used as a companion to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in suspected cases of MS at the first neurological event and for individuals with clinically isolated syndrome in order to expedite the diagnosis of relapsing-remitting MS.
- gMS<sup>®</sup> Pro EDSS, which is designed to be used as a tool to identify individuals with clinically isolated syndrome and relapsing-remitting MS who are at risk for rapid disability progression.

## **KEY POINTS:**

This policy has been updated with most recent review of literature on August 17, 2023.

### **Summary of Evidence**

It has been hypothesized that the diagnosis and prognosis of MS and the monitoring of treatment response and the assessment of the risk of side effects can be facilitated with the help of established biomarkers. Long-term studies of large cohorts are needed to prove the clinical utility of the application of biomarker testing for the diagnosis and prognosis MS. Biomarkers that enable a reliable prediction of the therapy response in order to facilitate individualized therapy are still lacking. Further research is needed using well-designed scientific evidence to validate that the use of biomarkers for MS results in an improvement in net health outcomes.

### **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

#### **International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials in Multiple Sclerosis**

The International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials in Multiple Sclerosis, jointly sponsored by the US National Multiple Sclerosis Society, the European Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis, and the Multiple Sclerosis Phenotype Group re-examined multiple sclerosis phenotypes, exploring clinical, imaging, and biomarker advances through working groups and literature searches. They found the following:

The MS Phenotype Group stated that further research is needed to better define the value of imaging and biological markers in assessing, confirming, or revising MS phenotype descriptors. One example of further research needed is as follows: Focused cohort studies in large datasets of clinically well-defined patients of potential fluid-borne (blood, CSF) markers that might allow better definition of clinical phenotypes.

The committee concluded that “To date, there are no clear clinical, imaging, immunologic or pathologic criteria to determine the transition point when relapse remitting MS converts to secondary progressive MS; the transition is usually gradual. This has limited our ability to study the imaging and biomarker characteristics that may distinguish this course.

#### **The International Panel on Diagnosis of Multiple Sclerosis**

The International Panel on Diagnosis of Multiple Sclerosis reviewed the 2010 McDonald criteria and recommended revisions in 2017. They found the following:

Research to further refine the criteria should focus on optic nerve involvement, validation in diverse populations, and incorporation of advanced imaging, neurophysiological, and body fluid markers.

#### **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable.

## **KEY WORDS:**

gMS Dx, gMS Pro EDSS, multiple sclerosis, serum biomarkers

**APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:**

FDA-approved tests for serum biomarkers in MS are currently unavailable.

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the FDA has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

**BENEFIT APPLICATION:**

Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group-specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

**CURRENT CODING:****CPT Codes:**

84999	Unlisted chemistry procedure
-------	------------------------------

**REFERENCES:**

1. Amorini AM, Nociti V, Petzold A, et al. Serum lactate as a novel potential biomarker in multiple sclerosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. Jul 2014; 1842(7):1137-1143.
2. Aydin O, Ellidag HY, Eren E, et al. Ischemia modified albumin is an indicator of oxidative stress in multiple sclerosis. *Biochem Med (Zagreb)*. 2014; 24(3):383-389.
3. Brettschneider J, Jaskowski TD, Tumani H, Abdul S, Husebye D, Seraj H, Hill HR, Fire E, Spector L, Yarden J, Dotan N, Rose JW. Serum anti-GAGA4 IgM antibodies differentiate relapsing remitting and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis from primary progressive multiple sclerosis and other neurological diseases. *J Neuroimmunol*. 2009 Dec 10; 217(1-2):95-101.
4. Brill L, Goldberg L, Karni A, et al. Increased anti-KIR4.1 antibodies in multiple sclerosis: Could it be a marker of disease relapse? *Mult Scler*. Apr 2015; 21(5):572-579.
5. Cantó, E., Barro, C., Zhao, C., Caillier, S. J., Michalak, Z., Bove, R., Kuhle, J. (2019). Association Between Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels and Long-term Disease Course Among Patients With Multiple Sclerosis Followed up for 12 Years. *JAMA Neurol*, 76(11), 1359 - 1366.
6. Colomba P, Fontana S, Salemi G, et al. Identification of biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid and serum of multiple sclerosis patients by immunoproteomics approach. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2014; 15(12):23269-23282.
7. Comabella M, Montalban X. Body fluid biomarkers in multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2014; 13(1):113-26.

8. Dickens AM, Larkin JR, Griffin JL, et al. A type 2 biomarker separates relapsing-remitting from secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. Oct 21 2014; 83(17):1492-1499.
9. Dimisianos N, Rodi M, Kalavrizioti D, et al. Cytokines as Biomarkers of Treatment Response to IFN beta in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis. *Mult Scler Int*. 2014; 2014:436764.
10. Evans C, Beland SG, Kulaga S et al. Incidence and prevalence of multiple sclerosis in the Americas: a systematic review. *Neuroepidemiology* 2013; 40(3):195-2
11. Filippi M, Rocca MA. MR imaging of multiple sclerosis. *Radiology*. 2011 Jun; 259(3):659-81.
12. Findling O, Durot I, Weck A, et al. Antimyelin antibodies as predictors of disability after clinically isolated syndrome. *Int J Neurosci*. Aug 2014; 124(8):567-572.
13. Fissolo N, Canto E, Vidal-Jordana A, et al. Levels of soluble TNF-RII are increased in serum of patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol*. Jun 15 2014; 271(1-2):56-59.
14. Freedman MS, Laks J, Dotan N et al. Anti-alpha-glucose-based glycan IgM antibodies predict relapse activity in multiple sclerosis after the first neurological event. *Mult Scler* 2009; 15(4):422-30.
15. Freedman MS, Metz C, Kappos L et al. Predictive nature of IgM anti-alpha-glucose serum biomarker for relapse activity and EDSS progression in CIS patients: a BENEFIT study analysis. *Mult Scler* 2012; 18(7):966-73.
16. Gironi M, Solaro C, Meazza C et al. Growth hormone and disease severity in early stage of multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Int* 2013; 2013:836486.
17. Hadjigeorgiou GM, Doxani C, Miligkos M et al. A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials for comparing the effectiveness and safety profile of treatments with marketing authorization for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2013; 38(6):433-9.
18. Holland NJ, Schneider DM, Rapp R, Kalb RC. Meeting the needs of people with primary progressive multiple sclerosis, their families, and the health-care community. *Int J MS Care*. 2011 Summer; 13(2):65-74.
19. Holmøy T, Løken-Amsrud KI, Bakke SJ, Beiske AG, Bjerve KS, Hovdal H, Lilleås F, Midgard R, Pedersen T, Saltytè Benth J, Torkildsen O, Wergeland S, Myhr KM, Michelsen AE, Aukrust P, Ueland T. Inflammation markers in multiple sclerosis: CXCL16 reflects and may also predict disease activity. *PLoS One*. 2013 Sep 19; 8(9):e75021.
20. Ingram G, Hakobyan S, Hirst CL et al. Complement regulator factor H as a serum biomarker of multiple sclerosis disease state. *Brain* 2010; 133(Pt 6):1602-11.
21. IOM (Institute of Medicine). 2011. *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
22. Jafarzadeh A, Ebrahimi HA, Bagherzadeh S, et al. Lower serum levels of Th2-related chemokine CCL22 in women patients with multiple sclerosis: a comparison between patients and healthy women. *Inflammation*. Apr 2014; 37(2):604-610.

23. Kacperska MJ, Jastrzebski K, Tomasik B, Walenczak J, Konarska-Krol M, Glabinski A. Selected extracellular microRNA as potential biomarkers of multiple sclerosis activity--preliminary study. *J Mol Neurosci*. 2015 May; 56(1):154-63.
24. Kappos L, Freedman MS, Polman CH, Edan G, Hartung HP, Miller DH, Montalbán X, Barkhof F, Radü EW, Metz C, Bauer L, Lanius V, Sandbrink R, Pohl C; BENEFIT Study Group. Long-term effect of early treatment with interferon beta-1b after a first clinical event suggestive of multiple sclerosis: 5-year active treatment extension of the phase 3 BENEFIT trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2009 Nov; 8(11):987-97.
25. Keegan BM. Therapeutic decision making in a new drug era in multiple sclerosis. *Semin Neurol* 2013; 33(1):5-12.
26. Klineova S, Lublin FD. Clinical Course of Multiple Sclerosis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2018 Sep 4; 8(9):a028928.
27. Koch MW, George S, Wall W, et al. Serum NSE level and disability progression in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci*. Mar 15 2015; 350(1-2):46-50.
28. Koudriavtseva T, D'Agosto G, Mandoj C, et al. High frequency of antiphospholipid antibodies in relapse of multiple sclerosis: a possible indicator of inflammatory-thrombotic processes. *Neurol Sci*. Nov 2014; 35(11):1737-1741.
29. Kuhle J, Pohl C, Mehling M et al. Lack of association between antimyelin antibodies and progression to multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 356(4):371-8.
30. Kvistad S, Myhr KM, Holmøy T, Bakke S, Beiske AG, Bjerve KS, Hovdal H, Løken-Amsrud KI, Lilleås F, Midgard R, Njølstad G, Pedersen T, Benth JS, Wergeland S, Torkildsen O. Antibodies to Epstein-Barr virus and MRI disease activity in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*. 2014 Dec; 20(14):1833-40.
31. López-Gómez C, Oliver-Martos B, Pinto-Medel MJ, Suardiaz M, Reyes-Garrido V, Urbaneja P, Fernández Ó, Leyva L. TRAIL and TRAIL receptors splice variants during long-term interferon  $\beta$  treatment of patients with multiple sclerosis: evaluation as biomarkers for therapeutic response. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2016 Feb; 87(2):130-7.
32. Lublin FD, Reingold SC, Cohen JA, Cutter GR, Sørensen PS, Thompson AJ, Wolinsky JS, Balcer LJ, Banwell B, Barkhof F, Bebo B Jr, Calabresi PA, Clanet M, Comi G, Fox RJ, Freedman MS, Goodman AD, Inglese M, Kappos L, Kieseier BC, Lincoln JA, Lubetzki C, Miller AE, Montalban X, O'Connor PW, Petkau J, Pozzilli C, Rudick RA, Sormani MP, Stüve O, Waubant E, Polman CH. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. *Neurology*. 2014 Jul 15; 83(3):278-86.
33. McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, Goodkin D, Hartung HP, Lublin FD, McFarland HF, Paty DW, Polman CH, Reingold SC, Sandberg-Wollheim M, Sibley W, Thompson A, van den Noort S, Weinshenker BY, Wolinsky JS. Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2001 Jul; 50(1):121-7.
34. Moccia M, Lanzillo R, Palladino R, et al. Uric acid: a potential biomarker of multiple sclerosis and of its disability. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. Sep 20 2014.

35. Moreno C, Prieto P, Macias A et al. Modulation of voltage-dependent and inward rectifier potassium channels by 15-epi-lipoxin-A4 in activated murine macrophages: implications in innate immunity. *J Immunol* 2013; 191(12):6136-46.
36. Ortega-Madueño I, Garcia-Montojo M, Dominguez-Mozo MI, Garcia-Martinez A, Arias-Leal AM, Casanova I, Arroyo R, Alvarez-Lafuente R. Anti-human herpesvirus 6A/B IgG correlates with relapses and progression in multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2014 Aug 11; 9(8):e104836.
37. Ouallet JC, Bodiguel E, Bensa C, Blanc F, Brassat D, Laplaud D, Zephir H, de Seze J, Magy L; Groupe de Re'flexion sur la Scle'rose en Plaques: GRESE. Recommendations for useful serum testing with suspected multiple sclerosis. *Rev Neurol (Paris)*. 2013 Jan; 169(1):37-46.
38. Paul A, Comabella M, Gandhi R. Biomarkers in Multiple Sclerosis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2019 Mar 1; 9(3):a029058.
39. Polachini CR, Spanevello RM, Casali EA, et al. Alterations in the cholinesterase and adenosine deaminase activities and inflammation biomarker levels in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Neuroscience*. Apr 25 2014; 266:266-274.
40. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Banwell B et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. *Ann Neurol* 2011; 69(2):292-302.
41. Schwarz M, Spector L, Gortler M, Weiss Haus O, Glass-Marmor L, Karni A, Dotan N, Miller A. Serum anti-Glc(alpha1,4)Glc(alpha) antibodies as a biomarker for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci*. 2006 May 15; 244(1-2):59-68.
42. Shimizu Y, Ota K, Ikeguchi R et al. Plasma osteopontin levels are associated with disease activity in the patients with multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica. *J Neuroimmunol* 2013; 263(1-2):148-51.
43. Siroos B, Balood M, Zahednasab H et al. Secretory phospholipase A2 activity in serum and cerebrospinal fluid of patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol* 2013; 262(1-2):125-7.
44. Skundric DS. Basic Approaches in Therapy of Multiple Sclerosis (MS) and Related Diseases: Current Achievement and Prospective. *Cent Nerv Syst Agents Med Chem*. 2018 Jan 26; 18(1):21-31.
45. Sternberg Z, Sternberg D, Drake A, et al. Disease modifying drugs modulate endogenous secretory receptor for advanced glycation end-products, a new biomarker of clinical relapse in multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol*. Sep 15 2014; 274(1-2):197-201.
46. Stilund M, Reuschlein AK, Christensen T, et al. Soluble CD163 as a marker of macrophage activity in newly diagnosed patients with multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(6):e98588.
47. Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Carroll WM, Coetzee T, Comi G, Correale J, Fazekas F, Filippi M, Freedman MS, Fujihara K, Galetta SL, Hartung HP, Kappos L, Lublin FD, Marrie RA, Miller AE, Miller DH, Montalban X, Mowry EM, Sorensen PS, Tintoré M, Traboulsee AL, Trojano M, Uitdehaag BMJ, Vukusic S, Waubant E, Weinshenker BG, Reingold SC, Cohen JA. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. *Lancet Neurol*. 2018 Feb; 17(2):162-173.

48. Trenova AG, Slavov GS, Manova MG, et al. Cytokines and disability in interferon-beta-1b treated and untreated women with multiple sclerosis. Arch Med Res. Aug 2014; 45(6):495-500.
49. Trentini A, Manfrinato MC, Castellazzi M, Tamborino C, Roversi G, Volta CA, Baldi E, Tola MR, Granieri E, Dallochio F, Bellini T, Fainardi E; Emilia-Romagna network for Multiple Sclerosis (ERMES) study group. TIMP-1 resistant matrix metalloproteinase-9 is the predominant serum active isoform associated with MRI activity in patients with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler. 2015 Aug; 21(9):1121-30.
50. Uysal S, Meriç Yılmaz F, Boğdaycioğlu N, Mungan Öztürk S, Ak F. Increased serum levels of some inflammatory markers in patients with multiple sclerosis. Minerva Med. 2014 Jun; 105(3):229-35. PMID: 24988088.
51. Wingerchuk DM, Carter JL. Multiple sclerosis: current and emerging disease-modifying therapies and treatment strategies. Mayo Clin Proc 2014; 89(2):225-40.
52. Williams, T. E., Holdsworth, K. P., Nicholas, J. M., Eshaghi, A., Katsanouli, T., Wellington, H., Heslegrave, A., Zetterberg, H., Frost, C., & Chataway, J. (2022). Assessing Neurofilaments as Biomarkers of Neuroprotection in Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: From the MS-STAT Randomized Controlled Trial. Neurology(R) neuroimmunology & neuroinflammation, 9(2), e1130.

## **POLICY HISTORY:**

Adopted for Blue Advantage, April 2014

Available for comment September 19 through November 2, 2014

Medical Policy Group, May 2015

Medical Policy Group, August 2016

Medical Policy Group, October 2019

Medical Policy Group, August 2021

Medical Policy Group, October 2021: Reviewed by consensus. No new published peer-reviewed literature available that would alter the coverage statement in this policy.

Medical Policy Group, August 2022: Reviewed by consensus. References added. No new published peer-reviewed literature available that would alter the coverage statement in this policy.

Medical Policy Group, August 2023: Reviewed by consensus. No new published peer-reviewed literature available that would alter the coverage statement in this policy.

Medical Policy Group, November 2023: Archived effective 11/1/2023.

---

*This medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits, or a contract. Eligibility and benefits are determined on a case-by-case basis according to the terms of the member's plan in effect as of the date services are rendered. All medical policies are based on (i) research of current medical literature and (ii) review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease as of the date hereof. Physicians and other providers are solely responsible for all aspects of medical care and treatment, including the type, quality, and levels of care and treatment.*

*This policy is intended to be used for adjudication of claims (including pre-admission certification, pre-determinations, and pre-procedure review) in Blue Cross and Blue Shield's administration of plan contracts.*