



BlueCross BlueShield  
of Alabama

---

**Name of Blue Advantage Policy:**

**Occlusion of Uterine Arteries Using Transcatheter Embolization or Laparoscopic Occlusion to Treat Uterine Fibroids**

Policy #: 022

Latest Review Date: September 2024

Category: OB/Gyn

---

**BACKGROUND:**

*Blue Advantage medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:*

1. *Safe and effective;*
2. *Not experimental or investigational\*;*
3. *Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:*
  - *Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;*
  - *Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;*
  - *Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;*
  - *One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and*
  - *At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

*\*Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000, which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill **Original Medicare** for covered services that are related to **clinical trials** that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).*

## **POLICY:**

**Blue Advantage** will treat **transcatheter embolization of uterine arteries as a treatment of uterine fibroids** as a **covered benefit** when:

- Asymptomatic fibroids of such size that they are palpable abdominally and are a concern to the patient; OR
- Excessive uterine bleeding as evidenced by either profuse bleeding lasting more than eight days, or anemia due to acute or chronic blood loss; OR
- Pelvic discomfort caused by myomata, either acute severe pain, chronic lower abdominal pain, or low back pressure or bladder pressure with urinary frequency not due to urinary tract infection.

**Blue Advantage** will treat **transcatheter embolization of uterine arteries as a treatment of postpartum uterine hemorrhage** as a **covered benefit**.

**Blue Advantage** will treat **one repeat transcatheter embolization of uterine arteries to treat uterine fibroids after an initial uterine artery embolization**, as a **covered benefit** when there is documentation of continued symptoms such as bleeding or pain and there are persistent symptoms in combination with findings on imaging of an incomplete initial procedure, as evidenced by continued blood flow to the previously treated regions.

**Blue Advantage** will treat **transcatheter embolization** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** for the management of all other indications, including cervical ectopic pregnancy, uterine arteriovenous malformation and adenomyosis.

**Blue Advantage** will treat **laparoscopic occlusion of the uterine arteries using bipolar coagulation or vascular clips** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational**.

*Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.*

## **DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:**

Transcatheter uterine artery embolization (UAE) is a minimally invasive technique that involves the injection of small particles, gel foam, coils or glue into the uterine arteries to block the blood supply to the uterus and uterine fibroids. It potentially serves as an alternative to hysterectomy. UAE has also been used to treat other conditions including postpartum hemorrhage (PPH), cervical ectopic pregnancy, bleeding uterine arteriovenous malformation and adenomyosis.

### **Uterine Artery Embolization**

There is interest in techniques that directly devascularize the uterine fibroid by interrupting the uterine arteries. One technique, uterine artery embolization, involves selective catheterization of

the uterine arteries with an injection of embolization material. Uterine artery embolization has also been used to control bleeding in situations such as severe postpartum hemorrhage, cervical ectopic pregnancy, bleeding uterine arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), and adenomyosis.

### **Laparoscopic Occlusion**

Laparoscopic occlusion of uterine arteries is a procedure that uses vascular clips or bipolar coagulation to cut off the blood supply to fibroids. The lack of blood flow causes the fibroids to shrink.

### **KEY POINTS:**

The most recent literature update was performed through September 4, 2024.

#### **Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have uterine fibroids who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The majority of studies have compared UAE with hysterectomy and myomectomy and found similar levels of symptoms and quality of life across all treatment groups. Benefits for women undergoing UAE included avoiding surgery and maintaining their uteruses, lower complication rates, and lower blood transfusion rates.

However, patients undergoing UAE had higher reintervention rates compared with patients who had surgery. Smaller trials have compared UAE with laparoscopic occlusion and magnetic resonance image-guided focused ultrasound surgery. Additional trials with larger sample sizes comparing UAE with these and other uterus-preserving procedures are needed. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have persistent uterine fibroids despite uterine artery embolization treatment who receive repeat transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Case series have shown that a high degree of symptom relief is possible after a repeat UAE for uterine fibroids. Moreover, there is evidence from RCTs on the safety and efficacy of UAE for initial treatment of uterine fibroids may indicate a benefit for patients in need of repeat procedures for the same indication. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have postpartum uterine hemorrhage who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes RCTs, case series and a systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic review of multiple RCTs and comparative observational studies of 1142 women found similar hemostatic effective rates for UAE and hysterectomy and found several benefits of UAE including reduced blood loss, operating time, and length of stay. The systematic review of case series assessing over 1400 women reported success rates of bleeding cessation that ranged from 58% to 98%. The evidence is sufficient to determine qualitatively that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cervical ectopic pregnancy who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are treatment-related morbidity. Only a few case series with a small number of patients have been published. Additional studies, especially controlled studies comparing UAE to medication or surgery, are needed to assess the safety and efficacy of UAE in patients with cervical ectopic pregnancy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have uterine arteriovenous malformations (AVM) who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case reports, case series, and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and treatment-related morbidity. Only case reports and case series with a small number of patients have been published. A systematic review identified 54 women in 40 studies with uterine AVM treated with UAE. Additional controlled studies comparing UAE hysterectomy are needed to conclude the safety and efficacy of UAE in patients with uterine arteriovenous malformation. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have adenomyosis who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review of case series data found short-term improvement in 83% of patients and long-term improvement in 65% of patients, suggesting possible recurrence of symptoms over time. All studies were case series and may have been subject to selection and/or observational biases. Additional case series published after the review have reported that patients with greater necrosis of adenomyosis and patients with higher vascularity of lesions may experience higher response rates to UAE. Controlled studies comparing UAE to medication or surgery and studies reporting long-term symptom recurrence rates are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have uterine fibroids and have laparoscopic uterine artery occlusion, the evidence consists of meta-analysis and nonrandomized controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are patient satisfaction, re-intervention rates, and complication rates. The evidence has shown that LUAO patient satisfaction scores are lower compared to UAE and that this procedure is less effective than UAE and myomectomy. Long-term, well designed randomized studies are needed to properly evaluate this procedure for patient satisfaction, effects on fertility and future pregnancies. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

### **American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)**

In 2021, ACOG issued Practice Bulletin #228 – Management of Symptomatic Uterine Leiomyomas. They state the following:

- Uterine artery embolization is recommended as an interventional procedure for the treatment of uterine leiomyomas in patients who desire uterine preservation and are counseled about the limited available data on reproductive outcomes. (Level A)

In 2013, and reaffirmed in 2024, ACOG issued a committee opinion (No. 557) on the management of acute abnormal uterine bleeding in non-pregnant reproductive aged women. The committee listed UAE among the surgical options for acute abnormal uterine bleeding and stated

that the need for surgical treatment, including UAE, is based on the clinical stability of the patient, the severity of bleeding, contraindications to medical management, the patient's lack of response to medical management, and the underlying medical condition of the patient.

In 2017, the ACOG published a practice bulletin (No. 183) on postpartum hemorrhage. UAE was recommended when less invasive techniques (uterotonic agents, uterine massage, uterine compression, manual removal of clots) failed. Studies have shown that the median success rate is 89% (range, 58%- 98%).

### **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable

### **KEY WORDS:**

Uterine artery embolization, fibroids, leiomyomata, UAE, TruFill PVA particles, Embosphere Microspheres, Contour Emboli PVA, Conture SE™, Cook Incorporated polyvinyl alcohol foam embolization particles, laparoscopic occlusion of the uterine arteries using bipolar coagulation, bipolar coagulation occlusion of uterine arteries, laparoscopic occlusion of uterine arteries, adenomyosis, Bead Block, Hydropearl

### **APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:**

In April 2000, Embosphere® Microspheres (Merit Medical, formerly BioSphere Medical) was cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process for hypervascularized tumors and AVMs. In 2002, this product was cleared for marketing specifically for use in uterine fibroid embolization. Since then, several other devices have been cleared for marketing and a sampling of those are listed herein. In 2003, Contour® Emboli PVA (Boston Scientific) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the embolization of peripheral hypervascular tumors and peripheral AVMs. In March 2004, the Contour SE™ (Boston Scientific) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the treatment of uterine fibroids. In 2008, Polyvinyl Alcohol Foam Embolization Particles (Cook Inc.) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for use in uterine fibroid embolization. In 2016, Bead Block™ microspheres (Biocompatibles UK) were cleared for marketing by FDA for embolization of uterine fibroids and AVMs. In 2020, Hydropearl® Microspheres (MicroVention, Inc.) was cleared for marketing by FDA for the embolization of arteriovenous malformations and hypervascular tumors, including uterine fibroids.

### **BENEFIT APPLICATION:**

Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group-specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

## CURRENT CODING:

### CPT code:

37243	Vascular embolization or occlusion, inclusive of all radiological supervision and interpretation, intraprocedural roadmapping, and imaging guidance necessary to complete the intervention; for tumors, organ ischemia, or infarction
37244	Vascular embolization or occlusion, inclusive of all radiological supervision and interpretation, intraprocedural roadmapping, and imaging guidance necessary to complete the intervention; for arterial or venous hemorrhage or lymphatic extravasation

## REFERENCES:

1. Ambat S, Mittal S, Srivastava DN et al. Uterine artery embolization versus laparoscopic occlusion of uterine vessels for management of symptomatic uterine fibroids. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2009; 105(2):162-165.
2. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). ACOG Practice Bulletin: Alternatives to hysterectomy in the management of leiomyomas. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 112(2 pt 1):387-400.
3. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Committee Opinion No. 293: Uterine artery embolization. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 103(2):403-404.
4. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Management of Acute Abnormal Uterine Bleeding in Non-Pregnant, Reproductive- Aged Women. Committee Opinion No. 557 2013, reaffirmed 2024; //www.acog.org/Resources-And-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Gynecologic-Practice/Management-of-Acute-Abnormal-Uterine-Bleeding-in-Nonpregnant-Reproductive-Aged-Women.
5. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Management of postpartum hemorrhage, Bulletin No. 76. 2014; www.acog.org/-media/List-of-titles/PBListOfTitles.pdf. Accessed July 2016.
6. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Management of symptomatic uterine leiomyomas. Bulletin No. 228. 2021. <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-bulletin/articles/2021/06/management-of-symptomatic-uterine-leiomyomas>.
7. Andersen PE, et al. Uterine artery embolization of symptomatic uterine fibroids. Initial success and short-term results. *Acta Radiol* 2001 Mar; 42(2): 234-238.
8. Bae SH, Kim MD, Kim GM, et al. Uterine artery embolization for adenomyosis: percentage of necrosis predicts midterm clinical recurrence. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Sep 2015; 26(9):1290-1296 e1292.
9. Barnard EP, AbdElmagied AM, Vaughan LE, et al. Periprocedural outcomes comparing fibroid embolization and focused ultrasound: a randomized controlled trial and comprehensive cohort analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. May 2017; 216(5):500 e501-500 e511.
10. Barral PA, Saeed-Kilani M, Tradi F, et al. Transcatheter arterial embolization with ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer (Onyx) for the treatment of hemorrhage due to uterine arteriovenous malformations. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. May 2017; 98(5):415-421.

11. Brunereau Laurent, et al. Uterine artery embolization in the primary treatment of uterine leiomyomas: Technical features and prospective follow-up with clinical and sonographic examinations in 58 patients. *American Journal of Radiology* 2000 November; 175:1267-1272.
12. Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics. Practice Bulletin No. 183: Postpartum hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol.* Oct 2017;130(4):e168-e186.
13. Dariushnia SR, Nikolic B, Stokes LS, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for uterine artery embolization for symptomatic leiomyomata. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* Nov 2014; 25(11):1737-1747.
14. Das R, Champaneria R, Daniels JP et al. Comparison of Embolic Agents Used in Uterine Artery Embolisation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* Oct 2014; 37(5):1179-1190.
15. de Bruijn AM, Ankum WM, Reekers JA, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: 10-year outcomes from the randomized EMMY trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Dec 2016;215(6):745 e741-745 e712.
16. de Bruijn AM, Smink M, Hehenkamp WJK, et al. Uterine artery embolization for symptomatic adenomyosis: 7-year clinical follow-up using UFS-Qol Questionnaire. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* May 17 2017;40(9):1344-1350.
17. Doumouchtsis SK, Nikolopoulos K, Talaulikar V et al. Menstrual and fertility outcomes following the surgical management of postpartum haemorrhage: a systematic review. *BJOG* 2014; 121(4):382-388.
18. Dubuisson J, Ramyead L, Streuli I. The role of preventive uterine artery occlusion during laparoscopic myomectomy: a review of the literature. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2015 Apr; 291 (4): 737-743.
19. Edwards RD, Moss JG, Lumsden MA et al; Committee of the Randomized Trial of Embolization versus Surgical Treatment for Fibroids. Uterine-artery embolization versus surgery for symptomatic uterine fibroids. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 356(4):360-370.
20. Expert Panel on Interventional R, Knuttinen MG, Stark G, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria((R)) radiologic management of uterine leiomyomas. *J Am Coll Radiol.* May 2018;15(5S):S160-S170.
21. Ganguli S, Stecker MS, Pyne D et al. Uterine artery embolization in the treatment of postpartum uterine hemorrhage. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2011; 22(2):169-176.
22. Goldberg J, Pereira L, Berghella V. Pregnancy after uterine artery embolization. *Obstet Gynecol* 2002; 100(5 pt 1):869-872.
23. Goldberg J, Pereira L, Berghella V et al. Pregnancy outcomes after treatment for fibromyomata: uterine artery embolization versus laparoscopic myomectomy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004; 191(1):18-21.
24. Goldfarb Herbert A. Myoma coagulation (myolysis). *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics*, June 2000, Vol. 27, No. 2.
25. Gupta JK, Sinha A, Lumsden MA, et al. Uterine artery embolization for symptomatic uterine fibroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014; 12: CD005073.
26. Gupta JK, Sinha A, Lumsden MA et al. Uterine artery embolization for symptomatic uterine fibroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; CD005073.
27. Hald K, Klow NE, Qvigstad E et al. Laparoscopic occlusion compared with embolization of uterine vessels: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 109(1):20-27.

28. Hald K, Noreng HJ, Istre O et al. Uterine artery embolization versus laparoscopic occlusion of uterine arteries for leiomyomas: Long-term results of a randomized comparative trial. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2009; 20(10):1303-1310.
29. Hehenkamp WJ, Volkers NA, Donderwinkel FJ et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids (EMMY trial): peri- and postprocedural results from a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 193(5):1618-1629.
30. Helal A, Mashaly AM, Amer T. Uterine artery occlusion for treatment of symptomatic uterine myomas. *JLS*. 2010 Jul-Sep; 14(3):386-390.
31. Holub Z, Eim J, Jabor A et al. Complications and myoma recurrence after laparoscopic uterine artery occlusion for symptomatic myomas. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2006; 32(1):55-62.
32. Homer H, Saridogan E. Uterine artery embolization for fibroids is associated with an increased risk of miscarriage. *Fertil Steril* 2010; 94(1):324-330.
33. Hu J, Tao X, Yin L, et al. Successful conservative treatment of cervical pregnancy with uterine artery embolization followed by curettage: a report of 19 cases. *BJOG*. Sep 2016; 123 Suppl 3:97-102.
34. Hutchins Jr. Francis L and Worthington-Kirsch Robert. Embolotherapy for myoma-induced menorrhagia. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics*, June 2000, Vol. 27, No. 2.
35. IOM (Institute of Medicine). 2011. *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
36. Jun F, Yamin L, Xinli X et al. Uterine artery embolization versus surgery for symptomatic uterine fibroids: a randomized controlled trial and a meta-analysis of the literature. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2012; 285(5):1407-1413.
37. Kim TH, Lee HH, Kim JM et al. Uterine artery embolization for primary postpartum hemorrhage. *Iran J Reprod Med* 2013; 11(6):511-518.
38. Kim T, Shin JH, Kim J et al. Management of bleeding uterine arteriovenous malformation with bilateral uterine artery embolization. *Yonsei Med J* 2014; 55(2):367-373.
39. Kirby JM, Kachura JR, Rajan DK et al. Arterial embolization for primary postpartum hemorrhage. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2009; 20(8):1036-1045.
40. Knuttinen MG, Stark G, Hohenwarter EJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria (R) Radiologic Management of Uterine Leiomyomas. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2018; 15(5S): S160-S170.
41. Kroncke T. An update on uterine artery embolization for uterine leiomyomata and adenomyosis of the uterus. *B J Radiol*. 2023 Feb; 96(1143): 20220121.
42. Kwon JH, Kim GM, Han K, et al. Safety and efficacy of uterine artery embolization in ectopic pregnancies refractory to systemic methotrexate treatment: a single-center study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. May 01 2017;40(9):1351-1357.
43. Lee HJ, Cho HY, Peck MJ, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus cesarean hysterectomy in the management of postpartum hemorrhage. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol*. Dec 2019; 28(6): 351-358.
44. Lipman John C, et al. Uterine fibroid embolization: Follow-up. *Techniques in Vascular and Interventional Radiology*, March 2002, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 44-55.
45. Liu Z, Wang Y, Yan J, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of refractory postpartum hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. Feb 2020; 33(4): 693-705.



46. Manyonda IT, Bratby M, Horst JS et al. Uterine artery embolization versus myomectomy: Impact on quality of life-results from the FUME (Fibroids of the Uterus: Myomectomy versus Embolization) trial. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2012; 35(3):530-536.
47. Mara M, Kubinova K, Maskova J, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus laparoscopic uterine artery occlusion: the outcomes of a prospective, nonrandomized clinical trial. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2012 Oct; 35(5):1041-1052.
48. Mara M, Maskova J, Fucikova Z et al. Midterm clinical and first reproductive results of a randomized controlled trial comparing uterine fibroid embolization and myomectomy. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2008; 31(1):73-85.
49. Martin J, Bhanot K, Athreya S. Complications and re-interventions in uterine artery embolization for symptomatic uterine fibroids: a literature review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2013; 36(2); 395-402.
50. McLucas B and Adler L. Uterine fibroid embolization compared with myomectomy. *Inter J Gynecol Obstet* 2001; 74(3): 297-299.
51. McLucas B, Reed RA. Repeat uterine artery embolization following poor results. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol* 2009; 18(2):82-86.
52. Mohan PP, Hamblin MH, Vogelzang RL. Uterine Artery Embolization and Its Effect on Fertility. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jul 2013; 24(7):925-930.
53. Moss JG, Cooper CG, Khaund A et al. Randomized comparison of uterine artery embolization (UAE) with surgical treatment in patients with symptomatic uterine fibroids (REST trial): 5-years results. *BJOG* 2011; 118(8):936-944.
54. Panagiotopoulou N, Nethra S, Karavolos S et al. uterine-sparing minimally invasive interventions in women with uterine fibroids: a systematic review and indirect treatment comparison meta-analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2014 Sep; 93(9):858-867.
55. Pelage Jean-Pierre. Fibroid-related menorrhagia: Treatment with superselective embolization of the uterine arteries and midterm follow-up. *Radiology* 2000; 215:428-431.
56. Popovic M, Berzaczy D, Puchner S et al. Long-term quality of life assessment among patients undergoing uterine fibroid embolization. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009; 193(1):267-271.
57. Popovic M, Puchner S, Berzaczy D, et al. Uterine artery embolization for the treatment of adenomyosis: a review. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jul 2011; 22(7):901-909; quiz 909.
58. Rath W, Hackethal A, Bohlmann MK. Second-line treatment of postpartum hemorrhage (PHH). *Arch Bynecol Obstet* 2012; 286(3):549-561.
59. The REST Investigators. Uterine-artery embolization versus surgery for symptomatic uterine fibroids. *NEJM*, January 25, 2007; 356: 360-370.
60. Ruuskanen A, Hippelainen M, Sipola P et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy for leiomyomas: primary and two-year follow-up results of a randomized prospective clinical trial. *Eur Radiol* 2010; 20(10):2524-2532.
61. Sathe NA, Likis FE, Young JL, et al. Procedures and uterine-sparing surgeries for managing postpartum hemorrhage: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol Surv*. Feb 2016; 71(2):99-113.
62. Shields LE, Goffman D, Caughey AB. Practice Bulletin No. 183: Postpartum Hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol*. Oct 2017; 130(4): e168-e186.

63. Simon Jeremy R. and Silverstein Mark I. Uterine artery embolization for fibroids: Procedure, results, and complications. Supplement to Applied Radiology, July 2001, pp. 17-28.
64. Siskin GP, et al. Outpatient uterine artery embolization for symptomatic uterine fibroids: experience in 49 patients. J Vasc Interv Radiology 2000 Mar; 11(3): 305-311.
65. Society of Cardiovascular & Interventional Radiology Fact Sheet. Uterine fibroids and uterine fibroid embolization (UFE), February 15, 2002.
66. Society of Cardiovascular & Interventional Radiology. Uterine Artery Embolization Statement, March 2001.
67. Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. The management of uterine leiomyomas. No. 318, February 2015. Available at: [//sogc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/gui318CPG1502ErevB1.pdf](http://sogc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/gui318CPG1502ErevB1.pdf). Accessed August 2016.
68. SOGC Clinical Practice Guidelines. Uterine fibroid embolization (UFE). Number 150, October 2004 Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2005; 89(3):305-318.
69. Spies James B, et al. Uterine artery embolization for leiomyomata. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Vol. 98, No. 1, pp. 29-34, July 2001.
70. Stokes LS, Wallace MJ, Godwin RB et al. Quality improvement guidelines for uterine artery embolization for symptomatic leiomyomas. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2010; 21(8):1153-1163.
71. Parker, WH. Up To Date. Laparoscopic myomectomy and other laparoscopic treatments for uterine leiomyomas (fibroids). Jul 2016. Available at: [//www.uptodate.com/contents/laparoscopic-myomectomy-and-other-laparoscopic-treatments-for-uterine-leiomyomas-fibroids?source=machineLearning&search=laparoscopic+occlusion+of+uterine+arteries&selectedTitle=1%7E150&sectionRank=1&anchor=H432917#H432917](http://www.uptodate.com/contents/laparoscopic-myomectomy-and-other-laparoscopic-treatments-for-uterine-leiomyomas-fibroids?source=machineLearning&search=laparoscopic+occlusion+of+uterine+arteries&selectedTitle=1%7E150&sectionRank=1&anchor=H432917#H432917). Accessed August 2016.
72. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Talk Paper. FDA clears device to treat fibroids. November 26, 2002, [www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/answers/2002/ans01175.html](http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/answers/2002/ans01175.html).
73. van der Kooij SM, Hehenkamp WJ, Volkers NA et al. Uterine artery embolization vs hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: 5-year outcome from the randomized EMMY trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2010; 203(2):105.e101-113.
74. van der Kooij SM, Bipat S, Hehenkamp WJ, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus surgery in the treatment of symptomatic fibroids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011; 205(4): 317 e311-318.
75. Vilos GA, Allaire C, Laberge PY, et al. The management of uterine leiomyomas. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. Feb 2015; 37(2):157-181.
76. Volkers NA, Hehenkamp WJ, Birnie E et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: two years' outcome from the randomized EMMY trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007; 196(6):519 e522-511.
77. Wang S, Meng X, Dong Y. The evaluation of uterine artery embolization as a nonsurgical treatment option for adenomyosis. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. May 2016; 133(2):202-205.
78. Xiaolin Z, Ling L, Chengxin Y et al. Transcatheter intraarterial methotrexate infusion n combined with selective uterine artery embolization as a treatment option for cervical pregnancy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2010; 21(6):836-841.

79. Yoon DJ, Jones M, Taani JA, et al. A systematic review of acquired uterine arteriovenous malformations: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and transcatheter treatment. *AJP Rep.* Mar 2016;6(1):e6-e14.
80. Younes S, Radosa M, Schneider A, et al. Use of a microsurgical vascular clip system for temporary bilateral occlusion of the four main uterine vessels for laparoscopic enucleation of very large intramural uterine fibroids. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2022 Nov; 306 (5):1597-1605.
81. Yousefi S, Czeyda-Pommersheim F, White AM et al. Repeat uterine artery embolization: indications and technical findings. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2006; 17(12):1923-1929.
82. Zhou J, He L, Liu P, et al. Outcomes in adenomyosis treated with uterine artery embolization are associated with lesion vascularity: a long-term follow-up study of 252 cases. *PLoS One.* Nov 2 2016; 11(11):e0165610.

## **POLICY HISTORY:**

Adopted for Blue Advantage, March 2005

Available for comment May 1-June 14, 2005

Medical Policy Group, July 2006

Medical Policy Group, February 2007

Medical Policy Group, February 2009

Medical Policy Group, June 2011

Medical Policy Group, September 2011

Available for comment September 22 through November 7, 2011

Medical Policy Group, July 2012

Available for comment July 26 through September 4, 2012

Medical Policy Group, December 2013

Medical Policy Group, July 2014

Medical Policy Group, July 2015

Medical Policy Group, September 2016

Available for Comment September 21 through November 5, 2016

Medical Policy Group, September 2017

Medical Policy Group, August 2018 (4): Updates to Description, Key Points, Approved by Governing Bodies and References. Also, deleted "Previous Coding Section"- CPT 37210 and 37242, deleted effective 01/01/2014. No change in Policy Statement.

Medical Policy Group, September 2019

Medical Policy Group, August 2020.

Medical Policy Group, September 2020: Policy retired effective 9/21/2020. No longer scheduled for regular literature reviews and updates

Medical Policy Group, August 2021

Medical Policy Group, August 2022: Reviewed by consensus. No new published peer-reviewed literature available that would alter the coverage statement.

Medical Policy Group, August 2023

UM Committee, December 2023: Policy approved by UM Committee for use for Blue Advantage business.

Medical Policy Group, August 2024: Reviewed by consensus. No change to policy statements.

UM Committee, August 2024: Annual review of policy approved by UM Committee for use for Blue Advantage business.

---

*This medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits, or a contract. Eligibility and benefits are determined on a case-by-case basis according to the terms of the member's plan in effect as of the date services are rendered. All medical policies are based on (i) research of current medical literature and (ii) review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease as of the date hereof. Physicians and other providers are solely responsible for all aspects of medical care and treatment, including the type, quality, and levels of care and treatment.*

*This policy is intended to be used for adjudication of claims (including pre-admission certification, pre-determinations, and pre-procedure review) in Blue Cross and Blue Shield's administration of plan contracts.*