

<u>Name of the Blue Advantage Policy:</u> Magnetic Resonance Guided Focused Ultrasound (MRgFUS)

Policy #: 178 Latest Review Date: July 2023 Category: Surgery

BACKGROUND:

Blue Advantage medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:

- 1. Safe and effective;
- 2. Not experimental or investigational*;
- 3. Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:
 - Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;
 - Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;
 - Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;
 - One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and
 - *At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

*Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000 which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill **Original Medicare** for covered services that are related to **clinical trials** that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).

POLICY:

<u>Effective for dates of service on and after November 18, 2020:</u> For magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation for the treatment of medicine-refractory essential tremors, refer to L37761/A56690.

Blue Advantage will treat magnetic resonance-guided high intensity ultrasound ablation as a covered benefit for pain palliation in adults with metastatic bone cancer who have failed or are not candidates for radiotherapy.

Blue Advantage will treat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation as a non-covered benefit and investigational for all other situations, including but not limited to:

- Treatment of uterine fibroids;
- Treatment of other tumors e.g., brain cancer, prostate cancer and breast cancer

Blue Advantage will treat transurethral ultrasound ablation of the prostate (TULSA) as a non-covered benefit and as investigational. This includes, but is not limited to, its use in the following situations:

- Treatment of prostate cancer.
- Treatment of benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH).

*For coverage information regarding radiofrequency ablation of bone tumors, refer to medical policy #119- *Radiofrequency Ablation of Solid Tumors Excluding Liver Tumors*.

*For coverage information regarding cryosurgical ablation of bone tumors, refer to medical policy #429- Cryosurgical Ablation of Miscellaneous Solid Tumors other than Liver, Prostate, or Dermatologic Tumors.

*For coverage information regarding focal treatments of the prostate, refer to medical policy 596- *Focal Treatments for Prostate Cancer*.

Effective for dates of service from July 27, 2020 through November 17, 2020: For magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation for the treatment of medicine-refractory essential tremors, refer to LCD L37761.

Blue Advantage will treat magnetic resonance-guided high intensity ultrasound ablation as a covered benefit for pain palliation in adults with metastatic bone cancer who have failed or are not candidates for radiotherapy.

Blue Advantage will treat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation as a non-covered benefit and as investigational for all other situations, including but not limited to:

• Treatment of uterine fibroids;

• Treatment of other tumors e.g., brain cancer, prostate cancer and breast cancer

Effective for dates of service on March 24, 2020 through July 26, 2020:

Magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation for the treatment of medicinerefractory essential tremors, refer to LCD L37761

Blue Advantage will treat magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation as a non-covered benefit and as investigational for all other situations, including but not limited to:

- Treatment of uterine fibroids;
- Pain palliation for patients with metastatic bone cancer;
- Treatment of other tumors e.g., brain cancer, prostate cancer and breast cancer

Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:

An integrated system providing magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS) treatment is proposed as a noninvasive therapy for uterine fibroids, pain palliation of bone metastases and medicine refractory essential tremors. MRgFUS is also being investigated for the treatment of other benign and malignant tumors.

Uterine Fibroids

Uterine fibroids are one of the most common conditions affecting women in the reproductive years. African American women have a greater lifetime incidence of uterine fibroids compared to other racial groups. Symptoms of uterine fibroids include menorrhagia, pelvic pressure, or pain.

Treatment

Several approaches currently available to treat symptomatic uterine fibroids include: hysterectomy, abdominal myomectomy, laparoscopic and hysteroscopic myomectomy, hormone therapy, uterine artery embolization, and watchful waiting. Hysterectomy and various myomectomy procedures are considered the criterion standard treatment.

Metastatic Bone Disease

Metastatic bone disease is one of the most common causes of cancer pain.

Treatment

Existing treatments include conservative measures (e.g., massage, exercise) and pharmacologic agents (e.g., analgesics, bisphosphonates, corticosteroids). For patients who do not respond to these treatments, the standard care is to use external-beam radiotherapy. However, a substantial proportion of patients have residual pain after radiotherapy.

Essential Tremors

Essential tremor (ET) is the most common movement disorder, with an estimated prevalence of 5% worldwide. ET most often affects the hands and arms, may affect head and voice, and rarely includes the face, legs, and trunk. ET is heterogeneous among patients, varying in frequency, amplitude, causes of exacerbation, and association with other neurologic deficits.

Treatment

The neuropathology of ET is uncertain, with some evidence suggesting that ET is localized in the brainstem and cerebellum. If patients with ET experience intermittent or persistent disability due to the tremors, initial therapy is with drugs (beta-blockers or anticonvulsants). For medicine-refractory patients, surgery (deep brain stimulation or thalamotomy) may be offered, though high rates of adverse events have been observed.

Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound

MRgFUS is a noninvasive treatment that combines two technologies, focused ultrasound and MRI. The ultrasound beam penetrates through the soft tissues and, using MRI for guidance and monitoring, the beam can be focused on targeted sites. The ultrasound causes a local increase in temperature in the target tissue, resulting in coagulation necrosis while sparing the surrounding normal structures. The ultrasound waves from each sonication are focused at a focal point which has a maximum focal volume of 20 nm in diameter and 15 nm in height/length. This causes a rapid rise in temperature (i.e., to approximately 65°C to 85°C), which is sufficient to achieve tissue ablation at the focal point. In addition to providing guidance, the associated MRI can provide on-line thermometric imaging that provides a temperature "map" that can further confirm the therapeutic effect of the ablation treatment and allow for real-time adjustment of the treatment parameters.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have approved the ExAblate® MRgFUS system for two indications: treatment of uterine fibroids and for palliation of pain associated with tumors metastatic to bone. The ultrasound equipment is specially designed to be compatible with MR magnets and is integrated into standard clinical MRI units. It includes a patient table, which includes a cradle housing the focused ultrasound transducer in a water or light oil bath. Some models of the device have a detachable cradle; only certain cradle types can be used for palliation of pain associated with metastatic bone cancer. For treating pain associated with bone metastases, the aim of MRgFUS is to destroy nerves in the bone surface surrounding the tumor.

MRgFUS is also being investigated for treatment of other tumors, including breast, prostate, and brain tumors.

KEY POINTS:

The most recent literature search was performed through May 22, 2023.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have uterine fibroids who receive magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS), the evidence includes 2 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), nonrandomized comparative studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. One RCT (N=20) has reported some health outcomes, but its primary purpose was to determine the feasibility of a larger trial. It did not find statistically significant differences in quality of life outcomes between active and sham treatment groups, but it did find lower fibroid volumes after active treatment. This trial did not have an active comparator, the clinical significance of the primary outcome was unclear, and there were no follow-up data beyond 1 year. The second RCT (N=49); had preliminary results at 6 weeks posttreatment, comparing MRgFUS with uterine artery embolization (UAE), and demonstrated that the 2 groups are comparable in medication use and symptom improvement following treatments. Patients in the MRgFUS group reported recovering significantly faster than patients in the uterine artery embolization (UAE) group, as measured by time to return to work and time to normal activities. Long-term follow-up results reported that there was lower reintervention rate and greater improvement in symptoms after UAE compared to MRgFUS. A 2021 meta-analysis reported that, comparatively, myomectomy had the lowest re-intervention rate of the 3 regimens (myomectomy vs UAE vs MRgFUS) in all time points assessed while the MRgFUS had the highest re-intervention rate. Long-term data on the treatment effects, recurrence rates, and impact on future fertility and pregnancy are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with metastatic bone cancer who have failed or are not candidates for radiotherapy who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes a randomized trial, a systematic review of RCTs and observational studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT found improvements after MRgFUS in a composite outcome comprised of a reduction in pain and morphine use, and in pain reduction as a stand-alone outcome. A substantial proportion of patients in the treatment goroup experienced adverse events but most events were transient and not severe. Pooled efficacy data from a systematic review reported a treatment response to MRgFUS of 79%. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with other tumors (eg, breast cancer, brain cancer, prostate cancer, desmoid, nonspinal osteoid osteoma) who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes nonrandomized, uncontrolled phase II trials and several case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, health status measures, and treatment-related morbidity. A nonrandomized, uncontrolled phase II trial evaluating MRgFUS for prostate cancer reported a 93% success rate at 5 months. Another nonrandomized, phase II trial in patients with prostate cancer reported that at 24 months, 88% (78 out of 89) of patients had no evidence of grade group 2 or higher prostate cancer in the treated area. The use of MRgFUS for the treatment of nonspinal osteoid osteoma consists of

several larger case series, including a propensity score-matched retrospective study that reported similar reductions in pain with radiofrequency ablation and MRgFUS. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with medication-refractory essential tremors who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes a technology assessment, meta-analyses, and a double-blind, sham-controlled randomized trial. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The assessment did not pool study results but concluded that, overall, MRgFUS decreased tremor severity and improved quality of life. One meta-analysis reported significant improvements in hand tremor scores from baseline up to 24 months post-treatment, with evidence of a diminishing treatment benefit over time. Another meta-analysis found similar improvements in tremor severity with MRgFUS to unilateral deep brain stimulation (DBS), but improvements in both were inferior to bilateral DBS. The sham-controlled randomized trial found significant improvements in the treatment group in tremor severity, functional improvement, and quality of life after 3 months of follow-up. The improvements in hand tremor score, function, and quality of life were maintained at the 2-year follow-up. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with medicine-refractory tremor dominant Parkinson disease (PD) who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes a pilot RCT. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The double-blind,sham-controlled, pilot randomized trial (N=27) found significant improvements in the treatment group in tremor severity after 3 months of follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American College of Radiology

In 2018, The American College of Radiology published appropriateness Criteria for the radiological management of uterine leiomyomas (fibroids). The clinical guidance states that "MR[magnetic resonance]-guided high-intensity focused US [ultrasound] (MRgFUS) is another uterine-sparing option to treat focal leiomyomas. It is noninvasive, though each treatment may take several hours to complete. Its use currently is restricted to patients with fewer than six leiomyomas or leiomyoma volume < 900 cm3," and "although a reasonable alternative for patients unable or unwilling to tolerate sedation or anesthesia, long-term data and viability results are still lacking."

American Society for Radiation Oncology

In 2017, The American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) published guidelines on palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases, which stated that external-beam radiotherapy continues to be the primary therapy for treating painful uncomplicated bone metastases. The guidelines did not mention magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound. If patients experience persistent or recurrent pain more than 1 month after initial treatment, the guidelines recommended retreatment with external-beam radiotherapy. As for advanced radiotherapy such

as stereotactic body radiotherapy for retreatment of recurrent pain in spine bone lesions, these "may be feasible, effective, and safe, but the panel recommends that this approach should be limited to clinical trial participation or on a registry given limited data supporting routine use."

In 2022, the American Urological Association (AUA)/ ASTRO published guidance on the management of clinically localized prostate cancer. The guidelines states that "there is a lack of data to date to support the use of whole gland or focal ablation for the treatment of clinically localized prostate cancer".

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

Guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) on bone cancer (v.3.2023), breast cancer (4.2023), brain cancer (v.1.2023), do not mention magnetic resonance-guided ultrasound as a treatment option. The NCCN guideline for prostate cancer (v 1.2023) states that "Cryotherapy or other local therapies are not recommended as routine primary therapy for localized prostate cancer due to lack of long-term data comparing these treatments to radiation. At this time, the panel recommends only cryosurgery and high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU; category 2B) as local therapy options for RT [radiotherapy] recurrence in the absence of metastatic disease".

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Guidance from NICE (2018) on unilateral magnetic resonance-guided ultrasound for treatmentresistant essential tremor states "the evidence on the safety of unilateral MRI [magnetic resonance imaging]-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for treatment-resistant essential tremor raises no major safety concerns. However, current evidence on its efficacy is limited in quantity. Therefore, this procedure should not be used unless there are special arrangements for clinical governance, consent, and audit or research."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

KEY WORDS:

Fibroids, ultrasound ablation, MRI-guidance, ultrasound ablation of uterine fibroids, ExAblate 2000, high intensity ultrasound ablation; uterine, leiomyoma; uterine; high intensity ultrasound ablation (HIFU), ExAblate, ultrasound ablation of breast tumors, ultrasound ablation of brain tumors, ultrasound ablation of prostate cancer, ultrasound ablation of bone metastasis, trans rectal high intensity focused ultrasound for prostate cancer, Ablatherm®, Sonablate 500®; MRgFUS, essential tremors, TULSA, TULSA-PRO, Transurethral Ultrasound Ablation of the Prostate

APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:

In October 2004, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved via the premarket application (PMA) process, the ExAblate 2000 System (Insightec, Inc., Haifa, Israel) for "ablation of uterine fibroid tissue in pre- or perimenopausal women with symptomatic uterine

fibroids who desire a uterine sparing procedure." Treatment is indicated for women with a uterine gestational size of less than 24 weeks who have completed childbearing.

In October 2012, the FDA approved the ExAblate System, Model 2000/2100/2100 VI via the PMA process. The intended use of the device is for pain palliation in adult patients with metastatic bone cancer who failed or are not candidates for radiation therapy. The device was evaluated through an expedited review process. The FDA required a post-approval study with 70 patients to evaluate the effectiveness of the system under actual clinical conditions.

In July 2016, FDA approved the use of the ExAblate Neuro System for the treatment of essential tremors in patients who have not responded to medication (beta-blockers or anticonvulsant drugs) through the premarket approval process. In December 2018, the FDA approved the use of the ExAblate Model 4000 (Neuro) for the treatment of tremor-dominant PD with medication-refractory tremor through the premarket approval process.

In November 2021, the FDA approved the use of the Exablate Prostate System for prostate tissue ablation through the premarket approval process.

BENEFIT APPLICATION:

Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group-specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

CURRENT CODING:

CPT codes:

0398T	Magnetic resonance image guided high intensity focused ultrasound (MRgFUS), stereotactic ablation lesion, intracranial for movement disorder including stereotactic navigation and frame placement when performed
0071T	Focused ultrasound ablation of uterine leiomyomata, including MR guidance; total leiomyomata volume of less than 200 cc of tissue
0072T	Focused ultrasound ablation of uterine leiomyomata, including MR guidance; total leiomyomata volume greater or equal to 200 cc of tissue
20999	Unlisted procedure, musculoskeletal system, general

There is no specific code for MRgFUS in reference to bone cancer. This code may come in on unlisted code 20999 along with the appropriate radiology guidance code.

These CPT codes should not be used in conjunction with 51702 (insertion of temporary indwelling bladder catheter, simple) or 77022 (magnetic resonance imaging guidance for, and monitoring of, visceral tissue ablation). Prior to the introduction of the above codes, the procedure may have been coded for using several codes describing the individual components of the procedure. CPT codes 0071T-0072T describe the comprehensive service.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Alongi F, Russo G, Spinelli A et al. Can magnetic resonance image-guided focused ultrasound replace local oncology treatments? A review. Tumori 2011; 97(3):259-64.
- 2. Arrigoni F, Barile A, Zugaro L, et al. Intra-articular benign bone lesions treated with magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS): imaging follow-up and clinical results. Med Oncol. Apr 2017; 34(4):55.
- 3. Arrigoni F, Napoli A, Bazzocchi A, et al. Magnetic-resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment of non-spinal osteoid osteoma in children: multicentre experience. Pediatr Radiol. Aug 2019; 49(9): 1209-1216.
- 4. Arrigoni F, Spiliopoulos S, de Cataldo C, et al. A bicentric propensity score matching study comparing percutaneous computed tomography-guided radiofrequency Ablation to Magnetic Resonance-guided Focused Ultrasounds for the treatment of osteoid osteoma. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Mar 25 2021.
- 5. Arrigoni F, Spiliopoulos S, de Cataldo C, et al. A Bicentric Propensity Score Matched Study Comparing Percutaneous Computed Tomography-Guided Radiofrequency Ablation to Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound for the Treatment of Osteoid Osteoma. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Jul 2021; 32(7): 1044-1051.
- Avedian RS, Bitton R, Gold G, et al. Is MR-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound a feasible treatment modality for desmoid tumors? Clin Orthop Relat Res. Mar 2016; 474(3):697-704.
- 7. Baal JD, Chen WC, Baal U, et al. Efficacy and safety of magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound for the treatment of painful bone metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Skeletal Radiol. Dec 2021; 50(12): 2459-2469.
- 8. Barnard EP, AbdElmagied AM, Vaughan LE, et al. Periprocedural outcomes comparing fibroid embolization and focused ultrasound: a randomized controlled trial and comprehensive cohort analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. May 2017; 216(5):500 e501-500 e511.
- 9. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasoundtherapy for symptomatic uterine fibroids. TEC Assessments. 2005;Volume 20:Tab 10.
- Bond AE, Shah BB, Huss DS, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Focused Ultrasound Thalamotomy for Patients WithMedication-Refractory, Tremor-Dominant Parkinson Disease: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Neurol. Dec 01 2017;74(12): 1412-1418.
- 11. Bucknor MD, Rieke V. MRgFUS for desmoid tumors within the thigh: early clinical experiences. J Ther Ultrasound. 2017; 5:4.

- 12. Carranza-Mamane B, Havelock J, Hemmings R, et al. The management of uterine fibroids in women with otherwise unexplained infertility. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. Mar 2015; 37(3):277-288.
- 13. Chang JW, Park CK, Lipsman N, et al. A prospective trial of magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor: Results at the 2-year follow-up. Ann Neurol. Jan 2018; 83(1):107-114.
- 14. Chen R, Keserci B, Bi H, et al. The safety and effectiveness of volumetric magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: early clinical experience in China. J Ther Ultrasound. 2016; 4:27.
- 15. Eastham JA, Boorjian SA, Kirkby E. Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: AUA/ASTRO Guideline. J Urol. Sep 2022;208(3): 505-507
- 16. Ehdaie B, Tempany CM, Holland F, et al. MRI-guided focused ultrasound focal therapy for patients with intermediate-riskprostate cancer: a phase 2b, multicentre study. Lancet Oncol. Jul 2022; 23(7): 910-918.
- 17. Elias WJ, Lipsman N, Ondo WG, et al. A randomized trial of focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor. N Engl J Med. Aug 25 2016; 375(8):730-739.
- Eltoukhi HM, Modi MN, Weston M, et al. The health disparities of uterine fibroid tumors for African American women: apublic health issue. Am J Obstet Gynecol. Mar 2014; 210(3): 194-9.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of safety and effectiveness data: PMA number: P110039. 2012. Available online at: www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh docs/pdf11/p110039b.pdf.
- 20. Froeling V, Meckelburg K, Schreiter NF et al. Outcome of uterine artery embolization versus MR-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound treatment for uterine fibroids: long-term results. Eur J Radiol 2013; 82(12):2265-9.
- 21. Geiger D, Napoli A, Conchiglia A, et al. MR-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS) ablation for the treatment of nonspinal osteoid osteoma: a prospective multicenter evaluation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. May 7 2014; 96(9):743-751.
- 22. Ghai S, Finelli A, Corr K, et al. MRI-guided Focused Ultrasound Ablation for Localized Intermediate-Risk Prostate Cancer: Early Results of a Phase II Trial. Radiology. Mar 2021; 298(3): 695-703.
- 23. Ghanouni P, Dobrotwir A, Bazzocchi A, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment of extra-abdominal desmoid tumors: a retrospective multicenter study. Eur Radiol. Feb 2017; 27(2):732-740.
- Gianfelice D, Khiat A, Amara M, et al. MR imaging-guided focused US ablation of breast cancer: histopathologicassessment of effectiveness-- initial experience. Radiology. Jun 2003; 227(3): 849-55.
- 25. Gianfelice D, Khiat A, Amara M, et al. MR imaging-guided focused ultrasound surgery of breast cancer: correlation ofdynamic contrast-enhanced MRI with histopathologic findings. Breast Cancer Res Treat. Nov 2003; 82(2): 93-101.
- 26. Giordano M, Caccavella VM, Zaed I, et al. Comparison between deep brain stimulation and magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound in the treatment of essential tremor: a

systematic review and pooled analysis of functional outcomes. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Dec 2020; 91(12): 1270-1278.

- 27. Gizzo S, Saccardi C, Patrelli TS et al. Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound Myomectomy: Safety, Efficacy, Subsequent Fertility and Quality-of-Life Improvements, A Systematic Review. Reprod Sci Apr 2014; 21(4): 465-76.
- 28. Gorny KR, Woodrum DA, Brown DL et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound of uterine leiomyomas: review of a 12-month outcome of 130 clinical patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2011; 22(6):857-64.
- 29. Health Quality Ontario (HQO). Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound Neurosurgery for Essential Tremor: A Health Technology Assessment. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser. May 2018; 18(4):1-141.
- Hurwitz MD, Ghanouni P, Kanaev SV, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound for patients with painful bone metastases: phase III trial results. J Natl Cancer Inst. April 23 2014; 106(5).
- Hynynen K, Pomeroy O, Smith DN, et al. MR imaging-guided focused ultrasound surgery of fibroadenomas in the breast:a feasibility study. Radiology. Apr 2001; 219(1): 176-85.
- 32. IOM (Institute of Medicine). 2011. Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Jacoby VL, Kohi MP, Poder L, et al. The PROMISe trial: a pilot, randomized, placebocontrolled trial of magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound for uterine fibroids. Fertil Steril. Mar 2016; 105(3): 773-780.
- Kim HS, Baik JH, Pham LD et al. MR-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound treatment for symptomatic uterine leiomyomata: long-term outcomes. Acad Radiol 2011; 18(8):970-6.
- 35. Knuttinen MG, Stark G, Hohenwalter EJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria ® Radiologic Management of Uterine Leiomyomas. J Am Coll Radiol. May 2018; 15(5S): S160-S170
- 36. Laughlin-Tommaso S, Barnard EP, AbdElmagied AM, et al. FIRSTT study: randomized controlled trial of uterine arteryembolization vs focused ultrasound surgery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. Feb 2019; 220(2): 174.e1-174.e13.
- Liberman B, Gianfelice D, Inbar Y et al. Pain palliation in patients with bone metastases using MR-guided focused ultrasound surgery: a multicenter study. Ann Surg Oncol 2009; 16(1):140-6.
- 38. Lutz S, Balboni T, Jones J, et al. Palliative radiation therapy for bone metastases: Update of an ASTRO Evidence-BasedGuideline. Pract Radiat Oncol. 2017; 7(1): 4-12.
- 39. Lutz S, Berk L, Chang E, et al. Palliative radiotherapy for bone metastases: an ASTRO evidence-based guideline. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. Mar 15 2011; 79(4):965-976.
- McDannold N, Clement GT, Black P, et al. Transcranial magnetic resonance imagingguided focused ultrasound surgeryof brain tumors: initial findings in 3 patients. Neurosurgery. Feb 2010; 66(2): 323-32; discussion 332.

- 41. Merckel LG, Knuttel FM, Deckers R, et al. First clinical experience with a dedicated MRI-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound system for breast cancer ablation. Eur Radiol. Nov 2016; 26(11):4037-4046.
- 42. Miller WK, Becker KN, Caras AJ, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment for essential tremor shows sustained efficacy: a meta-analysis. Neurosurg Rev. May 12 2021.
- 43. Miller WK, Becker KN, Caras AJ, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment for essential tremor shows sustained efficacy: a meta-analysis. Neurosurg Rev. Feb 2022; 45(1): 533-544.
- 44. Mohammed N, Patra D, Nanda A. A meta-analysis of outcomes and complications of magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound in the treatment of essential tremor. Neurosurg Focus. Feb 2018; 44(2):E4.
- 45. Napoli A, Anzidei M, De Nunzio C et al. Real-time magnetic resonance-guided highintensity focused ultrasound focal therapy for localised prostate cancer: preliminary experience. Eur Urol 2013; 63(2):395-8.
- 46. Napoli A, Anzidei M, Marincola BC et al. Primary pain palliation and local tumor control in bone metastases treated with magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound. Invest Radiol 2013; 48(6):351-8.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Breast Cancer. Version 4. 2023. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician gls/pdf/breast.pdf.
- 48. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Bone Cancer. Version 3.2023. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bone.pdf.
- 49. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Central Nervous System Cancers. Version 1.2023. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cns.pdf.
- 50. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer. Version 1.2023. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician gls/pdf/prostate.pdf.
- 51. National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Unilateral MRI-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for treatment-resistant essential tremor [IPG617]. 2018; www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg617.
- 52. Otonkoski S, Sainio T, Mattila S, et al. Magnetic resonance guided high intensity focused ultrasound for uterine fibroidsand adenomyosis has no effect on ovarian reserve. Int J Hyperthermia. 2023; 40(1): 2154575
- 53. Rabinovici J, David M, Fukunishi H, et al. Pregnancy outcome after magnetic resonanceguided focused ultrasoundsurgery (MRgFUS) for conservative treatment of uterine fibroids. Fertil Steril. Jan 2010; 93(1): 199-209.
- 54. Schaink A, Li C, Gajic-Veljanoski O, et al. Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound Neurosurgery for EssentialTremor: A Health Technology Assessment. Ont Health Technol Assess Ser. 2018; 18(4): 1-141.

- 55. Schaink A, Li C, Gajic-Veljanoski O, et al. Magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound treatment of extra-abdominal desmoid tumors: a retrospective multicenter study. Eur Radiol. Feb 2017; 27(2): 732-740.
- Xu F, Deng L, Zhang L, et al. The comparison of myomectomy, UAE and MRgFUS in the treatment of uterine fibroids: ameta analysis. Int J Hyperthermia. Sep 2021; 38(2): 24-29.
- 57. Zippel DB, Papa MZ. The use of MR imaging guided focused ultrasound in breast cancer patients; a preliminary phase one study and review. Breast Cancer. 2005; 12(1): 32-8.

POLICY HISTORY:

Adopted for Blue Advantage, March 2005 Available for comment May 1-June 14, 2005 Medical Policy Group, June 2006 Medical Policy Group, August 2006 Available for comment August 30-October 13, 2006 Medical Policy Group, August 2007 Medical Policy Group, October 2007 Medical Policy Group, April 2009 Medical Policy Group, February 2010 Available for comment February 23-April 8, 2010 Medical Policy Group, March 2011 Available for comment April 4 – May 18, 2011 Medical Policy Group March 2012 Medical Policy Group, May 2013 Available for comment May 22 through July 5, 2013 Medical Policy Group, February 2014 Medical Policy Group, April 2015 Medical Policy Group, December 2015 Medical Policy Group, February 2016 Medical Policy Group, July 2017 Medical Policy Group, February 2018 Medical Policy Group, September 2020: Reinstated effective March 24, 2020. Refer to LCD L34555 for dates of service from February 26, 2018 and prior to March 24, 2020. L34555 (Non-Covered Category III CPT Codes) retired effective March 23, 2020. Medical Policy Group, November 2020: 2021 Annual Coding Update. Added CPT code 55880 to the Current Coding section.). Updated policy statement to include: Transurethral Ultrasound Ablation of the Prostate (TULSA) is considered investigational. This includes, but is not limited to its use in the following situations: Treatment of prostate cancer and Treatment of benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH). Medical Policy Group, July 2021 Medical Policy Group, July 2022 Medical Policy Group, July 2023 UM Committee, December 2023: Policy approved by UM Committee for use for Blue Advantage business.

This medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits, or a contract. Eligibility and benefits are determined on a case-by-case basis according to the terms of the member's plan in effect as of the date services are rendered. All medical policies are based on (i) research of current medical literature and (ii) review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease as of the date hereof. Physicians and other providers are solely responsible for all aspects of medical care and treatment, including the type, quality, and levels of care and treatment.

This policy is intended to be used for adjudication of claims (including pre-admission certification, predeterminations, and pre-procedure review) in Blue Cross and Blue Shield's administration of plan contracts.