Name of Blue Advantage Policy:
Fecal Calprotectin Testing

Policy #: 472   Latest Review Date: December 2019
Category: Laboratory   Policy Grade: A

BACKGROUND:

Blue Advantage *medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:*

1. *Safe and effective;*
2. *Not experimental or investigational*;*
3. *Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:*

   • *Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;*
   • *Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;*
   • *Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;*
   • *One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and*
   • *At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

*Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000 which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill **Original Medicare** *for covered services that are related to* **clinical trials** *that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).*

POLICY:
Effective for dates of service on and after January 1, 2019:
Blue Advantage will treat **fecal calprotectin testing** as a **covered benefit for the evaluation of patients** when the differential diagnosis is **inflammatory bowel disease** or **noninflammatory bowel disease** (including irritable bowel syndrome) for whom endoscopy with biopsy is being considered.

Blue Advantage will treat **fecal calprotectin testing** as a **non-covered benefit** in the **management** of inflammatory bowel disease, including the management of active inflammatory bowel disease and surveillance for relapse of disease in remission.

Effective for dates of service on or after July 26, 2011 and prior to January 1, 2019:
Blue Advantage will treat **fecal calprotectin testing for patients age 18 and under as a covered benefit** in the diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease.

Blue Advantage will treat **fecal calprotectin testing for patient's age 19 and older** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** in the diagnosis and management of intestinal conditions, including the diagnosis and management of inflammatory bowel disease.

Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:
Calprotectin is a calcium- and zinc-binding protein that is a potential marker of intestinal inflammation. Fecal calprotectin testing is proposed as a noninvasive test to diagnose inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Other potential uses are to evaluate response to treatment for patients with IBD and as a marker of relapse.

Inflammatory Bowel Disease
IBD is a chronic condition that encompasses two main forms: Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), which overlap in clinical and pathological characteristics but have distinct features. Crohn disease can involve the entire gastrointestinal tract and is characterized by transmural inflammation. Ulcerative colitis involves inflammation limited to the mucosal layer of the colon, almost always involving the rectum.

IBD is suggested by the presence of one or more of a variety of signs and symptoms that can be gastrointestinal (e.g., abdominal pain, bloody diarrhea, perianal fistulae), systemic (e.g., weight loss, fatigue, growth failure in children), and extraintestinal (e.g., characteristic rashes, uveitis,

arthritis). Patients may present with or develop a range of severity levels, including life-threatening illness.

Diagnosis
Diagnosing IBD is associated with well-defined management changes. A typical diagnostic approach to IBD includes stool testing for enteric pathogens, blood tests (complete blood count, inflammatory markers) to differentiate etiologies and evaluate disease severity, as well as small bowel imaging and endoscopy (upper GI, colonoscopy) with biopsies.

Fecal Calprotectin
In some cases, the clinical manifestations of IBD can be nonspecific and suggestive of other disorders, including infectious colitis, colon cancer, and functional bowel disorders, including irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).

Thus, there is a need for simple, accurate, noninvasive tests to detect intestinal inflammation. Potential noninvasive markers of inflammation fall into several categories including serological and fecal. Serologic markers such as C-reactive protein and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) tend to have low sensitivity and specificity for intestinal inflammation because they are affected by inflammation outside of the gastrointestinal tract. Fecal markers, in contrast, have the potential for being more specific to the diagnosis of gastrointestinal tract disorders since their levels are not elevated in extra-digestive processes. Fecal leukocyte testing has been used to evaluate whether there is intestinal mucosal inflammation. The level of fecal leukocytes can be determined by the microscopic examination of fecal specimens; however, leukocytes are unstable and must be evaluated promptly by skilled personnel. There is interest in identifying stable proteins in stool specimens which may be representative of the presence of leukocytes rather than evaluating leukocyte levels directly.

Calprotectin is a protein that could possibly be used as a marker of inflammation. It is a calcium- and zinc-binding protein that accounts for about 60% of the neutrophils' cytoplasmic proteins. It is released from neutrophils during activation or apoptosis/necrosis and has a role in regulating inflammatory processes. In addition to potentially higher sensitivity and specificity than serologic markers, a potential advantage of fecal calprotectin as a marker is that it has been shown to be stable in feces at room temperature for up to one week, leaving enough time for patients to collect samples at home and send them to a distant laboratory for testing. In contrast, lactoferrin, another potential fecal marker of intestinal inflammation, is stable at room temperature for only about two days.

Among potential disadvantages of fecal calprotectin as a marker of inflammation are that fecal calprotectin levels increase after use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, that levels may change with age, and that bleeding (e.g., nasal or menstrual) may cause an elevated fecal calprotectin level. Moreover, there is uncertainty about the optimal cutoff to use to /distinguish between inflammatory bowel disease and non-inflammatory disease.

Fecal calprotectin testing has been used to differentiate between organic (e.g., inflammation) and functional (no visible problem in the GI tract like irritable bowel syndrome) disease. Some consider fecal calprotectin to be a marker of neutrophilic intestinal inflammation rather than a

marker of organic disease and believe its appropriate use is to distinguish between IBD and non-IBD. In practice, the test might be suitable for selecting patients with IBD symptoms for endoscopy, i.e. deciding which patients do not require endoscopy. Fecal calprotectin testing has also been proposed to evaluate the response to IBD treatment and for predicting relapse. If found to be sufficiently accurate, results of calprotectin testing could potentially be used to change treatment, such as adjusting medication levels.

Treatment
Guidelines-based treatments include oral and rectal salicylates, glucocorticoids, immunomodulators (e.g., methotrexate), and multiple biologic therapies (e.g., infliximab), depending on the disease severity.

KEY POINTS:
The most recent literature review was performed through November 12, 2019.

Summary of Evidence
For individuals who have a suspicion of IBD when endoscopy with biopsy is being considered who receive fecal calprotectin testing to select patients who can forgo endoscopy, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective diagnostic accuracy studies and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, hospitalizations, and medication use. Twenty-eight studies in a systematic review evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of fecal calprotectin in patients suspected of having IBD for whom noninflammatory bowel disease, such as irritable bowel syndrome, remains a consideration. Studies varied in the fecal calprotectin protein level cutoff used to indicate the presence of disease but most used a cutoff of 50 μg/g, which is the recommended lower bound. Studies have indicated that, at this threshold, the test has a sensitivity of 93% to 99% for IBD and a negative predictive value of 73% to 100% for intestinal inflammation. Out of 100 cases of suspected IBD, approximately 49 invasive tests would be avoided with one case missed. Therefore, fecal calprotectin can be used to inform a decision of whether to proceed with endoscopy. Clinical input supported that the use of fecal calprotectin testing for individuals with suspected IBD provides a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome by providing clinically valid and clinically useful information to guide the clinical decision-making. Specifically, fecal calprotectin testing can inform the decision by using a positive fecal calprotectin result to refer for endoscopy with biopsy or to use a negative fecal calprotectin results to exclude inflammatory bowel disease and avoid endoscopy with biopsy with acceptably low tradeoffs in missed diagnoses of IBD in those who have false negative FCP results. Additionally, input further highlighted that the use of fecal calprotectin is particularly important in pediatric populations, where children may not be able to fully participate as medical historians and may have non-specific and/or atypical symptoms. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have active IBD who receive fecal calprotectin testing to monitor disease activity, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective diagnostic studies, systematic reviews, and a randomized controlled trial (RCT). Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, hospitalizations, and medication use. RCTs

are needed to determine whether guiding treatment based on fecal calprotectin levels can improve disease management. A 2017 RCT included fecal calprotectin as one of several indicators of inflammation to test the effect of tight control of IBD on health outcomes. The independent contribution of fecal calprotectin could not be determined from this study design. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have IBD in remission who receive fecal calprotectin testing to predict relapse, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective diagnostic studies, systematic reviews, and an RCT. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, hospitalizations, and medication use. One RCT found no significant difference in the rate of relapse in patients whose medication was modified based on fecal calprotectin or standard clinical indicators, however, this RCT had design and conduct limitations that affected the interpretation of its results. Further study in high-quality RCTs is needed to determine whether adding fecal calprotectin to standard clinical practice improves the management of IBD patients in remission. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements
American Gastroenterological Association
In 2018, the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) published a guideline on functional gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with IBD. AGA recommends a stepwise approach to rule-out ongoing inflammatory activity in IBD patients that includes fecal calprotectin, endoscopy with biopsy, and imaging. AGA recommends that in those patients with indeterminate fecal calprotectin levels and mild symptoms, calprotectin monitoring at three to six month intervals may allow anticipatory management of impending flares. However, "the optimal cutoff for biomarkers remains a source of debate" and overtreatment for symptoms that are due to functional pathophysiology rather than inflammation can increase adverse effects with no symptomatic benefit.

American College of Gastroenterology
In 2018, The American College of Gastroenterology published guidelines on the management of Crohn disease in adults. The College gave a strong recommendation based on a moderate level of evidence that fecal calprotectin is a helpful test that should be considered to differentiate the presence of inflammatory bowel disease from irritable bowel syndrome. A summary statement without a recommendation indicated that fecal calprotectin measurements may have an adjunctive role in monitoring disease activity.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2013; recommendation 1.1 was updated in 2017), published guidance on fecal calprotectin testing for inflammatory diseases of the bowel. The guidance made the following recommendations:
1.1 "Fecal calprotectin testing is recommended as an option to support clinicians with the differential diagnosis of IBD or IBS in adults with recent onset lower gastrointestinal symptoms for whom specialist assessment is being considered, if cancer is not suspected, having considered the risk factors (for example, age).....

1.2 Fecal calprotectin testing is recommended as an option to support clinicians with the differential diagnosis of IBD or non-IBD (including IBS) in children with suspected IBD who have been referred for specialist assessment…."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not Applicable.

KEY WORDS:
Fecal calprotectin testing, PhiCal™, CalPrest®

APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:
In March 2006, the PhiCal™ (Genova Diagnostics), an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay test for measuring concentrations of fecal calprotectin in fecal stool was cleared for marketing by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. This test is indicated to aid in the diagnosis of irritable bowel disease and to differentiate IBD from irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) when used with other diagnostic testing and clinical considerations.

The PhiCal®, as modified by Quest Diagnostics, is classified as a laboratory-developed test. Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. The modified PhiCal® is available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing.

In 2014, CalPrest® (Eurospital SpA) and, in 2016, CalPrest®NG (Eurospital SpA) were cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process. According to the FDA summary, CalPrest® "is identical" to the PhiCal™ test "in that they are manufactured by Eurospital S.p.A. Trieste, Italy. Compared with CalPrest®, the "differences in CalPrest® NG include the name of the test on the labels, detection antibody, the use of a Horse-radish peroxidase / TMB conjugate/substrate system, the provided Stop solution, the concentration of calibrators and controls in the kit and the dynamic range of the assay."

FDA product code: NXO
Rapid fecal calprotectin tests that can be used in the home or physician's office are commercially available in Europe and Canada (e.g., Calprosmart, Calpro AS, Norway; Quantum Blue Calprotectin®, Bühlmann Laboratories, Switzerland). Rapid tests have not been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use in the United States.

BENEFIT APPLICATION:
Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

CURRENT CODING:
CPT Codes:

83993	Calprotectin, fecal

REFERENCES:

1. Ashorn S, Honkanen T, Kolho KL et al. Fecal calprotectin levels and serological responses to microbial antigens among children and adolescents with inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2009; 15(2):199-205.
2. Bonnin Tomas A, Vila Vidal M, Rosell Camps A. Fecal calprotectin as a biomarker to distinguish between organic and functional gastrointestinal disease. Spanish. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. Dec 2007; 99(12):689-693.
3. Colombel JF, Panaccione R, Bossuyt P, et al. Effect of tight control management on Crohn's disease (CALM): a multicentre, randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet. Dec 23 2018; 390(10114):2779-2789.
4. Conroy S, Hale MF, Cross SS, et al. Unrestricted faecal calprotectin testing performs poorly in the diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease in patients in primary care. J Clin Pathol. Aug 26 2017.
5. Costa F, Mumolo MG, Ceccarelli L et al. Calprotectin is a stronger predictive marker of relapse in ulcerative colitis than in Crohn's disease. Gut 2005; 54(3):364-8.
6. Damms A, Bischoff SC. Validation and clinical significance of a new calprotectin rapid test for the diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases. Int J Colorectal Dis. Oct 2008; 23(10):985-992.
7. El-Badry A, Sedrak H, Rashed L. Faecal calprotectin in differentiating between functional and organic bowel diseases. Arab J Gastroenterol. May 23 2010; 11(2):70-73.
8. Fagerberg UL, Loof L, Myrdal U, et al. Colorectal inflammation is well predicted by fecal calprotectin in children with gastrointestinal symptoms. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. Apr 2005; 40(4):450-455.
9. FDA. PhiCal 510(k) Substantial Equivalence Determine Decision Summary. Available online at: www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K050007.pdf.
10. Ferreiro-Iglesias R, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Otero Santiago M, et al. Fecal Calprotectin as Predictor of Relapse in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease Under Maintenance Infliximab Therapy. J Clin Gastroenterol. Feb 2016; 50(2):147-151.
11. Garcia-Sanchez V, Iglesias-Flores E, Gonzalez R et al. Does fecal calprotectin predict relapse in patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis? J Crohns Colitis 2010; 4(2):144-52.
12. Gisbert JP, Bermejo F, Perez-Calle JL et al. Fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin for the prediction of inflammatory bowel disease relapse. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2009; 15(8):1190-8.
13. Heida A, Park KT, van Rheenen PF. Clinical utility of fecal calprotectin monitoring in asymptomatic patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and practical guide. Inflamm Bowel Dis. Jun 2017; 23(6):894- 902.

14. Henderson P, Anderson NH, Wilson DC. The Diagnostic Accuracy of Fecal Calprotectin During the Investigation of Suspected Pediatric IBD: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2013.

15. Holtman GA, Lisman-van Leeuwen Y, Day AS, et al. Use of laboratory markers in addition to symptoms for diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease in children: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. JAMA Pediatr. Oct 1 2017; 171(10):984-991.

16. Jorgensen LG, Fredholm L, Hyltoft Petersen P, et al. How accurate are clinical activity indices for scoring of disease activity in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)? Clin Chem Lab Med. 2005; 43(4):403-411.

17. Kallel L, Ayadi I, Matri S et al. Fecal calprotectin is a predictive marker of relapse in Crohn's disease involving the colon: a prospective study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010; 22(3):340.

18. Kennedy NA, Clark A, Walkden A, et al. Clinical utility and diagnostic accuracy of faecal calprotectin for IBD at first presentation to gastroenterology services in adults aged 16-50 years. J Crohns Colitis. Jan 2015; 9(1):41-49.

19. Kostakis ID, Cholidou KG, Vaiopoulos AG et al. Fecal calprotectin in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. Dig Dis Sci 2013; 58(2):309-19.

20. Lamb CA, Mohiuddin MK, Gicquel J et al. Faecal calprotectin or lactoferrin can identify postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease. Br J Surg 2009; 96(6):663-74.

21. Langhorst J, Boone J, Lauche R. Faecal lactoferrin, calprotectin, PMN-elastase, CRP and white blood cell count as indicators for mucosal healing and clinical course of disease in patients with mild to moderate ulcerative colitis: Post hoc analysis of a prospective clinical trial. J Crohns Colitis. 2016 Jul; 10(7):786-94.

22. Lasson A, Ohman L, Stotzer PO, et al. Pharmacological intervention based on fecal calprotectin levels in patients with ulcerative colitis at high risk of a relapse: A prospective, randomized, controlled study. United European Gastroenterol J. Feb 2015; 3(1):72-79.

23. Lasson A, Simren M, Stotzer PO et al. Fecal Calprotectin Levels Predict the Clinical Course in Patients With New Onset of Ulcerative Colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013.

24. Li XG, Lu YM, Gu F, et al. Fecal calprotectin in differential diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome. Chinese. Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban. Jun 18 2006; 38(3):310-313.

25. Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: management of Crohn's disease in adults. Am J Gastroenterol. Apr 2018;113(4):481-517

26. Lin JF, Chen JM, Zuo JH, et al. Meta-analysis: fecal calprotectin for assessment of inflammatory bowel disease activity. Inflamm Bowel Dis. Aug 2014; 20(8):1407-1415.

27. Manz M, Burri E, Rothen C et al. Value of fecal calprotectin in the evaluation of patients with abdominal discomfort: an observational study. BMC Gastroenterol 2012; 12:5.

28. Mao R, Xiao Y, Gao X et al. Fecal calprotectin in predicting relapse of inflammatory bowel diseases: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012; 18(10):1894-9.

29. Menees SB, Powell C, Kurlander J, et al. A meta-analysis of the utility of C-reactive protein, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, fecal calprotectin, and fecal lactoferrin to exclude

inflammatory bowel disease in adults with IBS. Am J Gastroenterol. Mar 2015; 110(3):444-454.

30. Molander P, Bjorkesten CG, Mostonen H et al. Fecal calprotectin concentration predicts outcome in inflammatory bowel disease after induction therapy with TNFα blocking agents. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012; 18(11):2011-7.

31. Mosli MH, Zou G, Garg SK, et al. C-Reactive Protein, Fecal Calprotectin, and Stool Lactoferrin for Detection of Endoscopic Activity in Symptomatic Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. May 12 2015.

32. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Faecal calprotectin diagnostic tests for inflammatory diseases of the bowel. 2013. Available online at: guidance.nice.org.uk/DG11. Accessed November 24, 2019.

33. Orlando A, Modesto I, Castiglione F et al. The role of calprotectin in predicting endoscopic post-surgical recurrence in asymptomatic Crohn's disease: a comparison with ultrasound. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2006; 10(1):17-22.

34. Otten CM, Kok L, Witteman BJ et al. Diagnostic performance of rapid tests for detection of fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin and their ability to discriminate inflammatory from irritable bowel syndrome. Clin Chem Lab Med 2008; 46(9):1275-80.

35. Sandborn W, Binion D, Persley K, et al. AGA Institute Guidelines for the Identification, Assessment and Initial Medical Treatment in Crohn's Disease: Clinical Care Pathway. 2014; //campaigns.gastro.org/algorithms/IBDCarePathway/index.html. Accessed February 5, 2018.

36. Sandborn WJ. Crohn's disease evaluation and treatment: clinical decision tool. Gastroenterology. Sep 2014; 147(3):702-705.

37. Scarpa M, D'Inca R, Basso D et al. Fecal lactoferrin and calprotectin after ileocolonic resection for Crohn's disease. Dis Colon Rectum 2007; 50(6):861-9.

38. Schroder O, Naumann M, Shastri Y et al. Prospective evaluation of faecal neutrophil-derived proteins in identifying intestinal inflammation: combination of parameters does not improve diagnostic accuracy of calprotectin. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007; 26(7):1035-42.

39. Schoepfer AM, Trummler M, Seeholzer P, et al. Discriminating IBD from IBS: comparison of the test performance of fecal markers, blood leukocytes, CRP, and IBD antibodies. Inflamm Bowel Dis. Jan 2008; 14(1):32-39.

40. Sidler MA, Leach ST, Day AS. Fecal S100A12 and fecal calprotectin as noninvasive markers for inflammatory bowel disease in children. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2008; 14(3):359-66.

41. Terdiman JP, Gruss CB, Heidelbaugh JJ, et al. American Gastroenterological Association Institute guideline on the use of thiopurines, methotrexate, and anti-TNF-alpha biologic drugs for the induction and maintenance of remission in inflammatory Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. Dec 2013; 145(6):1459-1463.

42. Theede K, Holck S, Ibsen P. Fecal calprotectin predicts relapse and histological mucosal healing in ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2016 May; 22(5):1042-8.

43. Turner D, Leach ST, Mack D et al. Faecal calprotectin, lactoferrin, M2-pyruvate kinase and S100A12 in severe ulcerative colitis: a prospective multicentre comparison of predicting outcomes and monitoring response. Gut 2010; 59(9):1207-12.

44. Van de Vijver E, Schreuder AB, Cnossen WR, et al. Safely ruling out inflammatory bowel disease in children and teenagers without referral for endoscopy. Arch Dis Child. Dec 2012; 97(12):1014-1018.

45. van Rheenen PF, Van de Vijver E, Fidler V. Faecal calprotectin for screening of patients with suspected inflammatory bowel disease: diagnostic meta-analysis. BMJ 2010; 341:c3369.

46. von Roon AC, Karamountzos L, Purkayastha S et al. Diagnostic precision of fecal calprotectin for inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal malignancy. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102(4):803-13.

47. Wagner M, Peterson CG, Ridefelt P et al. Fecal markers of inflammation used as surrogate markers for treatment outcome in relapsing inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 2008; 14(36):5584-9; discussion 88.

48. Waugh N, Cummins E, Royle P et al. Faecal calprotectin testing for differentiating amongst inflammatory and non-IBDs: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess 2013; 17(55): xv-xix, 1-211.

49. Whitehead SJ, Ford C, Gama RM, et al. Effect of faecal calprotectin assay variability on the management of inflammatory bowel disease and potential role of faecal S100A12. J Clin Pathol. Dec 2017; 70(12):1049-1056.

50. Yamamoto T, Shiraki M, Bamba T et al. Fecal calprotectin and lactoferrin as predictors of relapse in patients with quiescent UC during maintenance therapy. Int J Colorectal Dis 2013.

POLICY HISTORY:

Adopted for Blue Advantage, May 2011
Available for comment May 11 – June 27, 2011
Medical Policy Group, October 2012
Medical Policy Group, April 2013
Medical Policy Group, June 2014
Medical Policy Group, April 2015
Medical Policy Group, July 2015
Medical Policy Group, May 2017
Medical Policy Group, March 2018
Medical Policy Group, January 2019
Medical Policy Group, December 2019