BlueCross BlueShield of Alabama

Name of Blue Advantage Policy:

Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy

Policy #: 137
Latest Review Date: August 2022
Category: Surgery

BACKGROUND:

Blue Advantage *medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:*

1. *Safe and effective;*
2. *Not experimental or investigational*;*
3. *Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:*

    - *Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;*
    - *Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;*
    - *Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;*
    - *One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and*
    - *At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000 which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill* ***Original Medicare* *for covered services that are related to* ***clinical trials*** *that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).*

POLICY:

Effective for dates of service on or after March 24, 2020:

Blue Advantage will treat **automated percutaneous discectomy** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

Blue Advantage will treat **percutaneous endoscopic discectomy** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

Effective for dates of service February 26, 2018 through March 23, 2020, refer to LCD L34555.

Effective for dates of service prior to February 26, 2018:

Blue Advantage will treat **automated percutaneous discectomy** as a **non-covered** benefit and as **investigational** as **a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine**.

Blue Advantage will treat **percutaneous endoscopic discectomy procedures** as a **non-covered** benefit and as **investigational** as a technique of **intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine**.

Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:

Surgical management of herniated intervertebral discs most commonly involves discectomy or microdiscectomy, performed manually through an open incision. Automated percutaneous discectomy involves placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc under image guidance with aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Removal of disc herniations under endoscopic visualization is also being investigated. Endoscopic discectomy involves the percutaneous placement of a working channel under image guidance, followed by visualization of the working space and instruments through an endoscope, and aspiration of disc material.

Back pain or radiculopathy related to herniated discs is an extremely common condition and a frequent cause of chronic disability. Although many cases of acute low back pain and

radiculopathy will resolve with conservative care, surgical decompression is often considered when the pain is unimproved after several months and is clearly neuropathic in origin, resulting from irritation of the nerve roots. Open surgical treatment typically consists of discectomy in which the extruding disc material is excised. When performed with an operating microscope, the procedure is known as microdiscectomy.

Minimally invasive options have also been researched, in which some portion of the disc material is removed or ablated, although these techniques are not precisely targeted at the offending extruding disc material. Ablative techniques include laser discectomy and radiofrequency (RF) decompression. In addition, intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty (also known as intradiscal electrothermal therapy [IDET]) is another minimally invasive approach to low back pain. In this technique, RF energy is used to treat the surrounding disc annulus. (See Medical Policy #090: Decompression of the Intervertebral Disc Using Laser Energy [Laser Discectomy] or Radiofrequency Coblation [Nucleoplasty] and Medical Policy #041: Percutaneous Intradiscal Electrothermal Annuloplasty (IDET), Radiofrequency Annuloplasty and Biacuplasty).

This policy addresses automated percutaneous and endoscopic discectomy, in which the disc decompression is accomplished by the physical removal of disc material rather than its ablation. Traditionally, discectomy is performed manually through an open incision, using cutting forceps to remove nuclear material from within the disc annulus. This technique has been modified by automated devices that involve placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc and aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Endoscopic techniques may be intradiscal or may involve the extraction of non-contained and sequestered disc fragments from inside the spinal canal using an interlaminar or transforaminal approach. Following insertion of the endoscope, the decompression is performed under visual control.

KEY POINTS:

The most recent literature search was performed through April 22, 2022. Following is a summary of the key literature to date.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive automated percutaneous discectomy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment related morbidity. The published evidence is insufficient to evaluate the impact of automated percutaneous discectomy on the net health outcome. Evidence from small RCTs does not support the use of this procedure. Well-designed and executed RCTs are needed to determine the benefits and risks of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive endoscopic percutaneous discectomy, the evidence includes a number of RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment related morbidity. Many of the more recent RCTs are conducted at institutions within China. There are few reports from the United States. Results do not reveal a consistently significant improvement in patient-

reported outcomes and treatment-related morbidity with percutaneous endoscopic discectomy in comparison to other discectomy interventions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) published guidance in 2005 on automated percutaneous mechanical lumber discectomy, indicating that there is limited evidence of efficacy based on uncontrolled case series of heterogeneous groups of patients, and evidence from small randomized controlled trials shows conflicting results. The guidance states that in view of uncertainty about the efficacy of the procedure, it should not be done without special arrangements for consent and for audit or research. The guidance was considered for review in 2009, but did not meet the review criteria; the 2005 guidance is therefore considered current.

A NICE guideline on percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published in 2016. The guidance has stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, and location and size of prolapsed disc.

A NICE guidance on percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published in 2016. The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, and location and size of prolapsed disc.

American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians
The 2013 guideline update from the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians states that the evidence for percutaneous disc decompression with Dekompressor is limited. There were no recommended indications for Dekompressor.

North American Spine Society
In 2014, the North American Spine Society published clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation. Table 1 summarizes recommendations specific to endoscopic percutaneous discectomy and automated percutaneous discectomy.

Table 1. NASS Recommendations for Lumbar Disc Herniation with Radiculopathy

Recommendations	Grade or LOE[a]
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy is suggested for carefully selected patients to reduce early postoperative disability and reduce opioid use compared with open discectomy.	B
There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of automated percutaneous discectomy compared with open discectomy.	I

Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Automated percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic discectomy experience better outcomes if <40 years and symptom duration <3 months.	II

LOE: level of evidence; NASS: North American Spine Society

[a] Grade B: fair evidence (level II or III studies with consistent findings; grade C: poor quality evidence (level IV or V studies).

[b] Level of evidence II: lesser quality randomized controlled trial (e.g., <80% follow-up, no blinding, or improper randomization), prospective comparative study, systematic review of level II studies or level I studies with inconsistent results; level of evidence III: case control, retrospective, systematic review of level III studies; level of evidence IV: case series; level of evidence V: expert opinion.

American Pain Society
The 2009 clinical practice guidelines from the American Pain Society found insufficient evidence to evaluate alternative surgical methods to standard open discectomy and microdiscectomy, including laser or endoscopic-assisted techniques, various percutaneous techniques, coblation nucleoplasty, or the Dekompressor.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not Applicable.

KEY WORDS:
Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy, herniated disc, LDH, lumbar disc herniation, Yess procedure, Yeung procedure, Yeung endoscopic spinal surgery, SED, selective endoscopic discectomy, PLD, percutaneous lumbar discectomy, IDET, intradiscal electrothermal therapy, IEA, intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty, MED, microendoscopic discectomy, percutaneous radiofrequency thermo-modulation, percutaneous intradiscal radiofrequency thermocoagulation, Nucleoplasty, microdiscectomy, laser-assisted discectomy, LADD, open microdiscectomy, METRx™, Dekompressor, Stryker, Laurimed

APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:
The Stryker DeKompressor® Percutaneous Discectomy Probe (Stryker), Herniatome Percutaneous Discectomy Device (Gallini Medical Devices), and the Nucleotome® (Clarus Medical) are examples of percutaneous discectomy devices that received clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. Both have the same labeled intended use, i.e., “for use in aspiration of disc material during percutaneous discectomies in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical regions of the spine.”

A variety of endoscopes and associated surgical instruments have received marketing clearance through the FDA's 510(k) process.

BENEFIT APPLICATION:

Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

CURRENT CODING:

CPT codes:

62287	Decompression procedure, percutaneous, of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc, any method utilizing needle based technique to remove disc material under fluoroscopic imaging or other form of indirect visualization, with discography and/or epidural injection(s) at the treated level(s), when performed, single or multiple levels, lumbar (e.g., manual or automated percutaneous discectomy, percutaneous laser discectomy)
62380	Endoscopic decompression of spinal cord, nerve root(s), including laminotomy, partial facetectomy, foraminotomy, discectomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, 1 interspace , lumbar.
0274T	Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (e.g., fluoroscopic, CT), single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; cervical or thoracic
0275T	; lumbar

HCPCS Codes:

C2614	Probe, percutaneous lumbar discectomy

REFERENCES:

1. Abudurexiti T, Qi L, Muheremu A, et al. Micro-endoscopic discectomy versus percutaneous endoscopic surgery for lumbar disk herniation. J Int Med Res. Sep 2018; 46(9): 3910-3917.
2. Ahn SS, Kim SH, Kim DW, et al. Comparison of Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Open LumbarMicrodiscectomy for Young Adults: A Retrospective Matched Cohort Study. World Neurosurg. Feb 2016; 86: 250-8.
3. Akcakaya MO, Yorukoglu AG, Aydoseli A, et al. Serum creatine phosphokinase levels as an indicator of muscle injury following lumbardisc surgery: Comparison of fully

endoscopic discectomy and microdiscectomy. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. Jun 2016; 145: 74-8.

4. Bai X, Lian Y, Wang J, et al. Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy compared with other surgeries for lumbar disc herniation: Ameta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). Mar 05 2021; 100(9): e24747.
5. Belykh E, Giers MB, Preul MC, et al. Prospective Comparison of Microsurgical, Tubular-Based Endoscopic, and Endoscopically Assisted Diskectomies: Clinical Effectiveness and Complications in Railway Workers. World Neurosurg. Jun 2016; 90: 273-280.
6. Casal-Moro R, Castro-Mendez M, Hernandez-Blanco M et al. Long-term outcome after microendoscopic diskectomy for lumbar disk herniation: a prospective clinical study with a 5-year follow-up. Neurosurgery 2011: 68(6):1568-1575; discussion 1575.
7. Chang F, Zhang T, Gao G, et al. Therapeutic effect of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy on lumbar disc herniation and itseffect on oxidative stress in patients with lumbar disc herniation. Exp Ther Med. Jan 2018; 15(1): 295-299.
8. Chen HC, Lee CH, Wei L, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar surgery foradjacent segment degeneration and recurrent disc herniation. Neurol Res Int. 2015; 2015: 791943.
9. Chen Q, Qin L, Li MW, et al. Comparison of the therapeutic effect of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and posterior discectomy on senile single segmental lumbar disc herniation. Chin J Front Med Sci. 2018;10(2):60-64.
10. Chen Z, Zhang L, Dong J, et al. Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy compared with microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: 1-year results of an ongoing randomized controlled trial. J Neurosurg Spine. Mar 2018;28(3):300-310.
11. Choi KC, Kim JS, Park CK. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy as an Alternative to Open Lumbar Microdiscectomyfor Large Lumbar Disc Herniation. Pain Physician. Feb 2016; 19(2): E291-300.
12. Choi KC, Lee JH, Kim JS, et al. Unsuccessful percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: a single-center experience of 10 228 cases. Neurosurgery. Apr 2015; 76(4):372-381.
13. Choi KC, Shim HK, Hwang JS, et al. Comparison of Surgical Invasiveness Between Microdiscectomy and 3 Different EndoscopicDiscectomy Techniques for Lumbar Disc Herniation. World Neurosurg. Aug 2018; 116: e750-e758.
14. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. Spine 2009; 34(10):1066-1077.
15. Cong L, Zhu Y, Tu G. A meta-analysis of endoscopic discectomy versus open discectomy for symptomatic lumbar disk herniation. Eur Spine J. Jan 2016; 25(1):134-143.
16. Dai HJ, Zhang X, Wang LT, et al. The effect of percutaneoustransforaminal endoscopic discectomy (PTED) on seruminflammatoryfactors and pain in patients with lumbar disc herniationafter surgery. Int J Clin Exp Med 2020;13:597603.
17. Ding YZ, Hu JN, Zhou Y, et al. Study on the effect contrast between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy using TESSYS technique

for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation. J Cervicodynia & Lumbodynia. 2017;38(5):492-493.

18. Duan XF, Jin W, Chen JJ, et al. Contrast observation of comparing microendoscopic discectomy with percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. Chin J Clin. 2016;10(1):144-147.
19. Gadjradj PS, Harhangi BS, Amelink J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy Versus Open Microdiscectomy forLumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Apr 15 2021; 46(8): 538-549.
20. Gadjradj PS, Rubinstein SM, Peul WC, et al. Full endoscopic versus open discectomy for sciatica: randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. BMJ. Feb 21 2022; 376: e065846.
21. Garg B, Nagraja UB, Jayaswal A. Microendoscopic versus open discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a prospective randomized study. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2011; 19(1):30-34.
22. Gibson JN, Subramanian AS, Scott CE. A randomised controlled trial of transforaminal endoscopic discectomy vs microdiscectomy. Eur Spine J. Mar 2017; 26(3):847-856.
23. Haines SJ, Jordan N, Boen JR et al. Discectomy strategies for lumbar disc herniation: results of the LAPDOG trial. J Clin Neurosci 2002; 9(4):411-417.
24. Hermantin FU, Peters T, Quartararo L et al. A prospective, randomized study comparing the results of open discectomy with those of video-assisted arthroscopic microdiscectomy. J Bone Joint surg Am 1999; 81(7):958-965.
25. Hsu HT, Chang SJ, Yang SS et al. Learning curve of full-endoscopic lumbar discectomy. Eur Spine J Apr 2013l 22(4):727-33.
26. Huang TJ, Hsu RW, Li YY, et al. Less systemic cytokine response in patients following microendoscopic versus open lumbardiscectomy. J Orthop Res. Mar 2005; 23(2): 406-11.
27. Hussein M, Abdeldayem A, Mattar MM. Surgical technique and effectiveness of microendoscopic discectomy for large uncontained lumbar disc herniations: a prospective, randomized, controlled study with 8 years of follow-up. Eur Spine J. Sep 2014; 23(9):1992-1999.
28. Kim MJ, Lee SH, Jung ES, et al. Targeted percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic diskectomy in 295 patients: comparison with resultsof microscopic diskectomy. Surg Neurol. Dec 2007; 68(6): 623-631.
29. Kleinpeter G, Markowitsch MM, Bock F. Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: minimally invasive, but perhaps onlyminimally useful?. Surg Neurol. Jun 1995; 43(6): 534-9; discussion 540-1.
30. Krappel FA, Schmitz R, Bauer E, et al. Open or endoscopicnucleotomy?. Orthopadische Praxis 2001;37:1649.
31. Kreiner DS, Hwang SW, Easa JE, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. Spine J. Jan 2014; 14(1):180-191.
32. Lee DY, Lee SH. Learning curve for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 2008; 48(9):383-388; discussion 388-389.

33. Lee SH, Chung SE, Ahn Y, et al. Comparative radiologic evaluation of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open microdiscectomy: a matched cohort analysis. Mt Sinai J Med. Sep 2006; 73(5): 795-801.
34. Lee DY, Shim CS, Ahn Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar microdiscectomyfor recurrent disc herniation. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. Dec 2009; 46(6): 515-21.
35. Lewis RA, Williams NH, Sutton AJ, et al. Comparative clinical effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and network meta-analyses. Spine J. Jun 1 2015; 15(6):1461-1477.
36. Li H, Jiang C, Mu X, et al. Comparison of MED and PELD in the Treatment of Adolescent Lumbar Disc Herniation: A 5-Year Retrospective Follow-Up. World Neurosurg. Apr 2018; 112: e255-e260.
37. Li M, Yang H, Yang Q. Full-Endoscopic Technique Discectomy Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy for the Surgical Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation. Pain Physician. Jul-Aug 2015; 18(4): 359-63.
38. Li XC, Zhong CF, Deng GB, et al. Full-endoscopic procedures versus traditional discectomy surgery for discectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of current global clinical trials. Pain Physician. Mar 2016; 19(3):103-118.
39. Li ZY, Guo PG, Han D, et al. Analysis of curative effects and prognosis in different procedures of discectomy for patients with lumbar disc herniation. J Clin Med Pract. 2017;21(15):149-150,158.
40. Liu HP, Hao DJ, Wang XD, et al. Comparison of two surgeries in treatment of lumbar disc herniation. Chin J Pain Med. 2017;23(6):438-442.
41. Liu JL, Zhen WX, Gao GY, et al. A prospective and controlled study of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomyversus fenestration discectomy for lumbar disc herniation. Chin J Bone Joint. 2014;3:245-250.
42. Liu T, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. Clinical efficacy of three different minimally invasive procedures for far lateral lumbar dischernіation. Chin Med J (Engl). Mar 2012; 125(6): 1082-8.
43. Liu X, Yuan S, Tian Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic transforaminal discectomy, microendoscopic discectomy, and microdiscectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation: minimum 2-year follow-up results. J Neurosurg Spine. Mar 2018; 28(3): 317-325.
44. Liu C, Zhou Y. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Diskectomy and Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion forRecurrent Lumbar Disk Herniation. World Neurosurg. Feb 2017; 98: 14-20.
45. Luo DK, Zhou NX, Zhao HW, et al. Clinical effectiveness of minimally invasive treatment for lumbar disc herniation. Orthopaedics. 2017;8(6):439-444.
46. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Alturi S, et al. An Update of Comprehensive Evidence-Based Guidelines for Interventional Techniques in Chronic Spinal Pain. Part II: Guidance and Recommendations. Pain Physician. April 2013; 16:S49-S283.
47. Martin-Laez R, Martinez-Agueros JA, Suarez-Fernandez D, et al. Complications of endoscopic microdiscectomy using theEASYGO! system: is there any difference with

conventional discectomy during the learning-curve period?. Acta Neurochir(Wien). Jun 2012; 154(6): 1023-32.

48. Mayer HM, Brock M. Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy: surgical technique and preliminary results compared tomicrosurgical discectomy. J Neurosurg. Feb 1993; 78(2): 216-25.
49. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy-guidance [IPG141]. 2005. guidance.nice.org.uk/IPG141/Guidance/pdf/English.
50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG555]. 2016; //www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg555.
51. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG556]. 2016; //www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg556.
52. Ohya J, Oshima Y, Chikuda H, et al. Does the microendoscopic technique reduce mortality and major complications in patients undergoing lumbar discectomy? A propensity score-matched analysis using a nationwide administrative database. Neurosurg Focus. Feb 2016; 40(2): E5.
53. Pan Z, Ha Y, Yi S, et al. Efficacy of Transforaminal Endoscopic Spine System (TESSYS) Technique in Treating Lumbar DiscHerniation. Med Sci Monit. Feb 18 2016; 22: 530-9.
54. Pan L, Zhang P, Yin Q. Comparison of tissue damages caused by endoscopic lumbar discectomy and traditional lumbar discectomy: a randomised controlled trial. Int J Surg. 2014; 12(5): 534-7.
55. Phan K, Xu J, Schultz K, et al. Full-endoscopic versus micro-endoscopic and open discectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes and complications. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. Mar 2017; 154:1-12.
56. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chen M : Comparative study of PTED and MED for monosegment lumbar disc herniation. Chin J Bone Joint Inj32 : 70-71, 2017
57. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chen M, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of single-segmental lumbar disc herniation. Chin J Bone Jt Inj. 2017;32(1):70-71.
58. Ran B, Wei J, Yang J, et al. Quantitative Evaluation of the Trauma of CT Navigation PELD and OD in the Treatment of HLDH: A Randomized, Controlled Study. Pain Physician. Jul 2021; 24(4): E433-E441.
59. Righesso O, Falavigna A, Avanzi O. Comparison of open discectomy with microendoscopic discectomy in lumbar discherniations: results of a randomized controlled trial. Neurosurgery. Sep 2007; 61(3): 545-9; discussion 549.
60. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Full-endoscopic cervical posterior foraminotomy for the operation of lateral disc herniations using 5.9-mm endoscopes: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. Spine 2008; 33(9):940-948.
61. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. Spine 2008; 33(9):931-939.

62. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Recurrent lumbar disc herniation after conventional discectomy: a prospective, randomized study comparing full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal versus microsurgical revision. J Spinal Disord Tech 2009; 22(2):122-129.
63. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Full-endoscopic anterior decompression versus conventional anterior decompression and fusion in cervical disc herniations. Int Orthop 2009; 33(6):1677-1682.
64. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Use of newly developed instruments and endoscopes: full-endoscopic resection of lumbardisc herniations via the interlaminar and lateral transforaminal approach. J Neurosurg Spine. Jun 2007; 6(6): 521-30.
65. Sasaoka R, Nakamura H, Konishi S, et al. Objective assessment of reduced invasiveness in MED. Compared with conventionalone-level laminotomy. Eur Spine J. May 2006; 15(5): 577-82.
66. Schizas C, Tsiridis E, Saksena J. Microendoscopic discectomy compared with standard microsurgical discectomy for treatmentof uncontained or large contained disc herniations. Neurosurgery. Oct 2005; 57(4 Suppl): 357-60; discussion 357-60.
67. Shi R, Wang F, Hong X, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a meta-analysis. Int Orthop. Apr 2019; 43(4): 923-937.
68. Sinkemani A, Hong X, Gao ZX, et al. Outcomes of Microendoscopic Discectomy and Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Comparative Retrospective Study. Asian Spine J. Dec 2015; 9(6): 833-40.
69. Song HP, Sheng HF, Xu WX. A case-control study on the treatment of protrusion of lumbar intervertebral disc through PELD and MED. Exp Ther Med. Oct 2017; 14(4):3708-3712.
70. Tao XZ, Jing L, Li JH. Therapeutic effect of transforaminal endoscopic spine system in the treatment of prolapse of lumbar intervertebraldisc. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. Jul 2018; 22(1 Suppl): 103-110.
71. Tacconi L, Giordan E. Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy vs.far lateral discectomy for extraforaminal disc protrusions:ourexperience. NeuroQuantology 2019;17:1822.
72. Tacconi L, Signorelli F, Giordan E. Is Full Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Less Invasive Than Conventional Surgery? A RandomizedMRI Study. World Neurosurg. Jun 2020; 138: e867-e875.
73. Teli M, Lovi A, Brayda-Bruno M et al. Higher risk of dural tears and recurrent herniation with lumbar micro-endoscopic discectomy. Eur Spine J 2010; 19(3):443-450.
74. Tenenbaum S, Arzi H, Herman A et al. Percutaneous posterolateral transforaminal endoscopic discectomy: clinical outcome, complications, and learning curve evaluation. Surg Technol Int 2011; XXI: 278-283.
75. Tu Z, Li YW, Wang B, et al. Clinical Outcome of Full-endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy for Single-level Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Minimum of 5-year Follow-up. Pain Physician. Mar 2017; 20(3): E425-E430.
76. Wang H, Cheng J, Xiao H, et al. Adolescent lumbar disc herniation: experience from a large minimally invasive treatment centre forlumbar degenerative disease in Chongqing, China. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. Aug 2013; 115(8): 1415-9.

77. Wang F, Guo D, Sun T, et al. A comparative study on short-term therapeutic effects of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniation. Pak J Med Sci. Mar-Apr 2019; 35(2): 426-431.
78. Wang B, Lu GH, Li J, et al. [Contrast study of full-endoscopic interlaminar approach for the surgical treatment of lumbar discherniation]. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi. Jan 01 2011; 49(1): 74-8.
79. Wang B, Lu G, Patel AA et al. An evaluation of the learning curve for a complex surgical technique: the full endoscopic interlaminar approach for lumbar disc herniations. Spine J 2011; 11(2):122-130.
80. Wang H, Song Y, Cai L. Effect of percutaneous transforaminallumbar spine endoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniationand itsinfluence on indexes of oxidative stress. Biomed Res 2017;28.
81. Wang M, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. A 10-year follow-up study on long-term clinical outcomes of lumbar microendoscopic discectomy. J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg. Aug 2012; 73(4):195-198.
82. Wu XC, Zhou Y, Li CQ. Percutaneous tranforaminal endoscopic discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for lumbardisc herniation: a prospective randomized controlled study. J Third Mil Med Univ. 2009;31(9):843-846.
83. Wu YM, Bai M, Yin HP, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between two kinds of minimally invasive procedures for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. J Pract Orthop. 2018;24(4):357-360.
84. Xu J, Li Y, Wang B, et al. Minimum 2-Year Efficacy of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy versus Microendoscopic Discectomy: A Meta-Analysis. World Neurosurg. Feb 26 2020; 138: 19-26.
85. Xu G, Zhang C, Zhu K, et al. Endoscopic removal of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc on lumbar intervertebral disc protrusion andthe influence on inflammatory factors and immune function. Exp Ther Med. Jan 2020; 19(1): 301-307.
86. Yang L, Liao XQ, Zhao XJ, et al. Comparison of surgical outcomes between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and micro-endoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation. China J Endosc. 2015;21(9):962-965.
87. Yao Y, Zhang H, Wu J, et al. Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion Versus Percutaneous Endoscopic LumbarDiscectomy: Revision Surgery for Recurrent Herniation After Microendoscopic Discectomy. World Neurosurg. Mar 2017; 99: 89-95.
88. Yao Y, Zhang H, Wu J, et al. Comparison of Three Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery Methods for Revision Surgery for RecurrentHerniation After Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy. World Neurosurg. Apr 2017; 100: 641-647.e1.
89. Yoon SM, Ahn SS, Kim KH, et al. Comparative Study of the Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Microscopic Lumbar Discectomy Using the Tubular Retractor System Based on the VAS, ODI, and SF-36. Korean J Spine. Sep 2012; 9(3): 215-22.
90. Yu, PP, Qiang, HH, Zhou, JJ, Huang, PP. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy versus Micro-Endoscopic Discectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation.. Med. Sci. Monit., 2019 Mar 31;25:2320-2328.

91. Zhao XW, Han K, Ji ZW, et al. Comparison of efficacy between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for treatment of lumbar disc herniation. Prog Mod Biomed. 2016;16(23):4454-4457.
92. Zhao W, Li CQ, Zhou Y, Wang J, Zheng WJ : Surgical treatment of the lumbar disc herniated discs using transforaminal endoscopicsurgerysystem. Orthop J China 20 : 1191-1195, 2012.
93. Zhao XM, Yuan QL, Liu L, et al. Is It Possible to Replace Microendoscopic Discectomy with Percutaneous Transforaminal Discectomy for Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation? A Meta-Analysis Based on Recurrence and Revision Rate. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. Jul 2020; 63(4): 477-486.

POLICY HISTORY:

Adopted for Blue Advantage, March 2005
Available for comment May 1-June 14, 2005
Medical Policy Group, August 2005
Medical Policy Group, March 2006
Available for comment March 25-May 8, 2006
Medical Policy Group, January 2007
Medical Policy Group, January 2009
Medical Policy Group, January 2010
Medical Policy Group, December 2011
Medical Policy Group, January 2012
Medical Policy Group, September 2013
Available for comments September 19 through November 2, 2013
Medical Policy Group, March 2014
Medical Policy Group, May 2015
Medical Policy Group, April 2016
Medical Policy Group, April 2017
Medical Policy Group, February 2018
Medical Policy Group, April 2020: Reinstated policy effective March 24, 2020.
Medical Policy Group, August 2020
Medical Policy Group, July 2021
Medical Policy Group, August 2022
