



**BlueCross BlueShield
of Alabama**

Name of Blue Advantage Policy:

Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy

Policy #: 137
Category: Surgery

Latest Review Date: August 2020
Policy Grade: B

BACKGROUND:

Blue Advantage medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:

1. *Safe and effective;*
2. *Not experimental or investigational*;*
3. *Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:*
 - *Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;*
 - *Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;*
 - *Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;*
 - *One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and*
 - *At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000 which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill **Original Medicare for covered services that are related to **clinical trials** that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).*

POLICY:

Effective for dates of service on or after March 24, 2020:

Blue Advantage will treat **automated percutaneous discectomy** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

Blue Advantage will treat **percutaneous endoscopic discectomy** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

Effective for dates of service February 26, 2018 through March 23, 2020, refer to LCD L34555.

Effective for dates of service prior to February 26, 2018:

Blue Advantage will treat **automated percutaneous discectomy** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

Blue Advantage will treat **percutaneous endoscopic discectomy procedures** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational** as a technique of **intervertebral disc decompression in patients with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.**

Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:

Surgical management of herniated intervertebral discs most commonly involves discectomy or microdiscectomy, performed manually through an open incision. Automated percutaneous discectomy involves placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc under image guidance with aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Removal of disc herniations under endoscopic visualization is also being investigated. Endoscopic discectomy involves the percutaneous placement of a working channel under image guidance, followed by visualization of the working space and instruments through an endoscope, and aspiration of disc material.

Back pain or radiculopathy related to herniated discs is an extremely common condition and a frequent cause of chronic disability. Although many cases of acute low back pain and

radiculopathy will resolve with conservative care, surgical decompression is often considered when the pain is unimproved after several months and is clearly neuropathic in origin, resulting from irritation of the nerve roots. Open surgical treatment typically consists of discectomy in which the extruding disc material is excised. When performed with an operating microscope, the procedure is known as microdiscectomy.

Minimally invasive options have also been researched, in which some portion of the disc material is removed or ablated, although these techniques are not precisely targeted at the offending extruding disc material. Ablative techniques include laser discectomy and radiofrequency (RF) decompression. In addition, intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty (also known as intradiscal electrothermal therapy [IDET]) is another minimally invasive approach to low back pain. In this technique, RF energy is used to treat the surrounding disc annulus. (See Medical Policy #090: Decompression of the Intervertebral Disc Using Laser Energy [Laser Discectomy] or Radiofrequency Coblation [Nucleoplasty] and Medical Policy #041: Percutaneous Intradiscal Electrothermal Annuloplasty (IDET), Radiofrequency Annuloplasty and Biacuplasty).

This policy addresses automated percutaneous and endoscopic discectomy, in which the disc decompression is accomplished by the physical removal of disc material rather than its ablation. Traditionally, discectomy is performed manually through an open incision, using cutting forceps to remove nuclear material from within the disc annulus. This technique has been modified by automated devices that involve placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc and aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Endoscopic techniques may be intradiscal or may involve the extraction of non-contained and sequestered disc fragments from inside the spinal canal using an interlaminar or transforaminal approach. Following insertion of the endoscope, the decompression is performed under visual control.

KEY POINTS:

The most recent literature search was performed through May 7, 2020. Following is a summary of the key literature to date.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive automated percutaneous discectomy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment related morbidity. The published evidence is insufficient to evaluate the impact of automated percutaneous discectomy on the net health outcome. Evidence from small RCTs does not support the use of this procedure. Well-designed and executed RCTs are needed to determine the benefits and risks of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive endoscopic percutaneous discectomy, the evidence includes a number of RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment related morbidity. Many of the more recent RCTs are conducted at institutions within China. There are few reports from the United States. Results do not reveal a consistently significant improvement in patient-

reported outcomes and treatment-related morbidity with percutaneous endoscopic discectomy in comparison to other discectomy interventions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) published guidance in 2005 on automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy, indicating that there is limited evidence of efficacy based on uncontrolled case series of heterogeneous groups of patients, and evidence from small randomized controlled trials shows conflicting results. The guidance states that in view of uncertainty about the efficacy of the procedure, it should not be done without special arrangements for consent and for audit or research. The guidance was considered for review in 2009, but did not meet the review criteria; the 2005 guidance is therefore considered current.

A NICE guideline on percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published in 2016. The guidance has stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, and location and size of prolapsed disc.

A NICE guidance on percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published in 2016. The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, and location and size of prolapsed disc.

American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

The 2013 guideline update from the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians states that the evidence for percutaneous disc decompression with Dekompessor is limited. There were no recommended indications for Dekompessor.

North American Spine Society

In 2014, the North American Spine Society published clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation. Table 1 summarizes recommendations specific to endoscopic percutaneous discectomy and automated percutaneous discectomy.

Table 1. NASS Recommendations for Lumbar Disc Herniation with Radiculopathy

Recommendations	Grade or LOEa
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy is suggested for carefully selected patients to reduce early postoperative disability and reduce opioid use compared with open discectomy.	B
There is insufficient evidence to make a	I

recommendation for or against the use of automated percutaneous discectomy compared with open discectomy.	
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Automated percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic discectomy experience better outcomes if <40 years and symptom duration <3 months.	II

LOE: level of evidence; NASS: North American Spine Society
a Grade B: fair evidence (level II or III studies with consistent findings; grade C: poor quality evidence (level IV or V studies).

b Level of evidence II: lesser quality randomized controlled trial (e.g., <80% follow-up, no blinding, or improper randomization), prospective comparative study, systematic review of level II studies or level I studies with inconsistent results; level of evidence III: case control, retrospective, systematic review of level III studies; level of evidence IV: case series; level of evidence V: expert opinion.

American Pain Society

The 2009 clinical practice guidelines from the American Pain Society found insufficient evidence to evaluate alternative surgical methods to standard open discectomy and microdiscectomy, including laser or endoscopic-assisted techniques, various percutaneous techniques, coblation nucleoplasty, or the Dekompressor.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not Applicable.

KEY WORDS:

Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy, herniated disc, LDH, lumbar disc herniation, Yess procedure, Yeung procedure, Yeung endoscopic spinal surgery, SED, selective endoscopic discectomy, PLD, percutaneous lumbar discectomy, IDET, intradiscal electrothermal therapy, IEA, intradiscal electrothermal annuloplasty, MED, microendoscopic discectomy, percutaneous radiofrequency thermo-modulation, percutaneous intradiscal radiofrequency thermocoagulation, Nucleoplasty, microdiscectomy, laser-assisted discectomy, LADD, open microdiscectomy, METRx™, Dekompressor, Stryker, Laurimed

APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:

The Stryker DeKompressor® Percutaneous Discectomy Probe (Stryker), Herniatome Percutaneous Discectomy Device (Gallini Medical Devices), and the Nucleotome® (Clarus Medical) are examples of percutaneous discectomy devices that received clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. Both have the same labeled

intended use, i.e., “for use in aspiration of disc material during percutaneous discectomies in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical regions of the spine.”

A variety of endoscopes and associated surgical instruments have received marketing clearance through the FDA’s 510(k) process.

BENEFIT APPLICATION:

Coverage is subject to member’s specific benefits. Group specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.

CURRENT CODING:

CPT codes:

62287	Decompression procedure, percutaneous, of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc, any method utilizing needle based technique to remove disc material under fluoroscopic imaging or other form of indirect visualization, with discography and/or epidural injection(s) at the treated level(s), when performed, single or multiple levels, lumbar (e.g., manual or automated percutaneous discectomy, percutaneous laser discectomy)
62380	Endoscopic decompression of spinal cord, nerve root(s), including laminotomy, partial facetectomy, foraminotomy, discectomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, 1 interspace , lumbar.
0274T	Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (e.g., fluoroscopic, CT), single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; cervical or thoracic
0275T	; lumbar 7u

HCPCS Codes:

C2614	Probe, percutaneous lumbar discectomy
-------	---------------------------------------

REFERENCES:

1. Abudurexiti T, Qi L, Muheremu A, et al. Micro-endoscopic discectomy versus percutaneous endoscopic surgery for lumbar disk herniation. J Int Med Res. Sep 2018; 46(9): 3910-3917.
2. Arts MP, Brand R, van de Akker ME et al. Tubular diskectomy vs conventional microdiskectomy for sciatica: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009; 302(2):149-158.

3. Arts MP, Brand R, van den Akker ME et al. Tubular discectomy vs conventional microdiscectomy for the treatment of lumbar disk herniation: 2-year results of a double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Neurosurgery* 2011; 69(1):135-144; discussion 144.
4. Belykh E, Giers MB, Preul MC, et al. Prospective Comparison of Microsurgical, Tubular-Based Endoscopic, and Endoscopically Assisted Discectomies: Clinical Effectiveness and Complications in Railway Workers. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2016; 90: 273-280.
5. Boswell MV, Trescot AM, et al. Interventional techniques: Evidence-based practice guidelines in the management of chronic spinal pain. *Pain Physician*, January 2007; 10(1): 7-111.
6. Brayda-Bruno, M. Posterior endoscopic discectomy (and other procedures), *European Spine Journal*, February 2000; 9 Suppl 1: S24-29.
7. Casal-Moro R, Castro-Mendez M, Hernandez-Blanco M et al. Long-term outcome after microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disk herniation: a prospective clinical study with a 5-year follow-up. *Neurosurgery* 2011; 68(6):1568-1575; discussion 1575.
8. Chatterjee S, Foy PM, Findlay GF. Report of a controlled clinical trial comparing automated percutaneous lumbar discectomy and microdiscectomy in the treatment of contained lumbar disc herniation. *Spine (Phil PA 1976)* 1995; 20(6):734-738.
9. Chen HC, Lee CH, Wei L, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar surgery for adjacent segment degeneration and recurrent disc herniation. *Neurol Res Int.* 2015; 2015: 791943.
10. Chen Q, Qin L, Li MW, et al. Comparison of the therapeutic effect of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and posterior discectomy on senile single segmental lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Front Med Sci.* 2018;10(2):60-64.
11. Chen Yung, Derby Richard, and Lee Sang-heon. Percutaneous disc decompression in the management of chronic low back pain, *Orthop Clin N Am* 2004; 35: 17-23.
12. Chen Z, Zhang L, Dong J, et al. Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy compared with microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: 1-year results of an ongoing randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Mar 2018;28(3):300-310.
13. Choi KC, Lee JH, Kim JS, et al. Unsuccessful percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: a single-center experience of 10 228 cases. *Neurosurgery.* Apr 2015; 76(4):372-381.
14. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine* 2009; 34(10):1066-1077.
15. Cong L, Zhu Y, Tu G. A meta-analysis of endoscopic discectomy versus open discectomy for symptomatic lumbar disk herniation. *Eur Spine J.* Jan 2016; 25(1):134-143.
16. Dasenbrock HH, Juraschek SP, Schultz LR et al. The efficacy of minimally invasive discectomy compared with open discectomy: a meta-analysis of prospective randomized controlled trials. *J Neurosurg Spin* 2012; 16(5):452-462.
17. Ding YZ, Hu JN, Zhou Y, et al. Study on the effect contrast between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy using TESSYS technique

for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *J Cervicodynia & Lumbodynia*. 2017;38(5):492-493.

18. Duan XF, Jin W, Chen JJ, et al. Contrast observation of comparing microendoscopic discectomy with percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Clin*. 2016;10(1):144-147.
19. Freeman BJ. Intradiscal electrothermal therapy, percutaneous discectomy, and nucleoplasty: What is the current evidence? *Current Pain Headache Rep*, January 2008; 12(1): 14-21.
20. Garg B, Nagraja UB, Jayaswal A. Microendoscopic versus open discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a prospective randomized study. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* 2011; 19(1):30-34.
21. Gibson JN, Cowie JG, Ipreburg M. Transforaminal endoscopic spinal surgery: the future 'gold standard' for discectomy? - a review. *Surgeon*. Oct 2012; 10(5):290-296.
22. Gibson JN, Grant IC, Waddell G. Surgery for lumbar disc prolapse. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 200; (3):CD001350.
23. Gibson JN, Subramanian AS, Scott CE. A randomised controlled trial of transforaminal endoscopic discectomy vs microdiscectomy. *Eur Spine J*. Mar 2017; 26(3):847-856.
24. Gibson JN. Surgical interventions for lumbar disc prolapse. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, January 2007; (2):CD001350.
25. Gotecha S, Ranade D, Patil SV, et al. The role of transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic discectomy in lumbar disc herniations. *J Craniovertebr Junction Spine*. 2016; 7(4):217-223.
26. Haines SJ, Jordan N, Boen JR et al. Discectomy strategies for lumbar disc herniation: results of the LAPDOG trial. *J Clin Neurosci* 2002; 9(4):411-417.
27. Hermantin FU, Peters T, Quartararo L et al. A prospective, randomized study comparing the results of open discectomy with those of video-assisted arthroscopic microdiscectomy. *J Bone Joint surg Am* 1999; 81(7):958-965.
28. Hirsch JA, Singh V, et al. Automated percutaneous lumbar discectomy for the contained herniated lumbar disc: A systematic assessment of evidence. *Pain Physician* 2009; 12(3): 601-620.
29. Hsu HT, Chang SJ, Yang SS et al. Learning curve of full-endoscopic lumbar discectomy. *Eur Spine J* 2012.
30. Hussein M, Abdeldayem A, Mattar MM. Surgical technique and effectiveness of microendoscopic discectomy for large uncontained lumbar disc herniations: a prospective, randomized, controlled study with 8 years of follow-up. *Eur Spine J*. Sep 2014; 23(9):1992-1999.
31. Isaacs Robert E, Podichetty Vinod and Fessler Richard G. Microendoscopic discectomy for recurrent disc herniations, *Neurosurg Focus*, September 2003; 15(3).
32. Kapural Leonardo, et al. IDTA vs. RFA for diskogenic back pain: Comparative study, *Pain Med* 2005; 6: 425-431.
33. Koebbe Christopher J, Maroon Joseph C, et al. Lumbar microdiscectomy: A historical perspective and current technical considerations. *Neurosurg Focus* 2002; 13(2).

34. Kreiner DS, Hwang SW, Easa JE, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. *Spine J.* Jan 2014; 14(1):180-191.
35. Lee DY, Lee SH. Learning curve for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2008; 48(9):383-388; discussion 388-389.
36. Lew, Sean M., et al. Transforaminal percutaneous endoscopic discectomy in the treatment of far-lateral and foraminal lumbar disc herniations. *J Neurosurgery: Spine*, April 2001, Vol. 94, pp. 216-220.
37. Lewis RA, Williams NH, Sutton AJ, et al. Comparative clinical effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and network meta-analyses. *Spine J.* Jun 1 2015; 15(6):1461-1477.
38. Li H, Jiang C, Mu X, et al. Comparison of MED and PELD in the Treatment of Adolescent Lumbar Disc Herniation: A 5-Year Retrospective Follow-Up. *World Neurosurg.* Apr 2018; 112: e255-e260.
39. Li M, Yang H, Yang Q. Full-Endoscopic Technique Discectomy Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy for the Surgical Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Pain Physician.* Jul-Aug 2015; 18(4): 359-63.
40. Li XC, Zhong CF, Deng GB, et al. Full-endoscopic procedures versus traditional discectomy surgery for discectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of current global clinical trials. *Pain Physician.* Mar 2016; 19(3):103-118.
41. Liu X, Yuan S, Tian Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic transforaminal discectomy, microendoscopic discectomy, and microdiscectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation: minimum 2-year follow-up results. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Mar 2018; 28(3): 317-325.
42. Li ZY, Guo PG, Han D, et al. Analysis of curative effects and prognosis in different procedures of discectomy for patients with lumbar disc herniation. *J Clin Med Pract.* 2017;21(15):149-150,158.
43. Liu HP, Hao DJ, Wang XD, et al. Comparison of two surgeries in treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Pain Med.* 2017;23(6):438-442.
44. Luo DK, Zhou NX, Zhao HW, et al. Clinical effectiveness of minimally invasive treatment for lumbar disc herniation. *Orthopaedics.* 2017;8(6):439-444
45. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Alturi S, et al. An Update of Comprehensive Evidence-Based Guidelines for Interventional Techniques in Chronic Spinal Pain. Part II: Guidance and Recommendations. *Pain Physician.* April 2013; 16:S49-S283.
46. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ et al. An updated review of automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy for the contained herniated lumbar disc. *Pain Physician* 2013; 16(2 Suppl):SE151-184.
47. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Calodney AK et al. Percutaneous lumbar mechanical disc decompression utilizing Dekompressor(R): an update of current evidence. *Pain Physician* 2013; 16(2 Suppl):SE1-24.
48. Maroon, Joseph C. Current concepts in minimally invasive discectomy. *Neurosurgery*, November 2002, Vol. 51, Suppl 2.

49. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy-guidance [IPG141]. 2005. Available online at: guidance.nice.org.uk/IPG141/Guidance/pdf/English. Accessed March 2018.
50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG555]. 2016; [//www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg555](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg555). Accessed March 9, 2018.
51. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG556]. 2016; [//www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg556](http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg556). Accessed March 9, 2018.
52. Nellensteijn J, Ostelo R, Bartels R et al. Transforaminal endoscopic surgery for symptomatic lumbar disc herniations: a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Spine J* 2010; 19(2):181-204.
53. Ohya J, Oshima Y, Chikuda H, et al. Does the microendoscopic technique reduce mortality and major complications in patients undergoing lumbar discectomy? A propensity score-matched analysis using a nationwide administrative database. *Neurosurg Focus*. Feb 2016; 40(2): E5.
54. Pan L, Zhang P, Yin Q. Comparison of tissue damages caused by endoscopic lumbar discectomy and traditional lumbar discectomy: a randomised controlled trial. *Int J Surg*. 2014; 12(5): 534-7.
55. Phan K, Xu J, Schultz K, et al. Full-endoscopic versus micro-endoscopic and open discectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes and complications. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. Mar 2017; 154:1-12.
56. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chen M, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of single-segmental lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Bone Jt Inj*. 2017;32(1):70-71.
57. Rasouli MR, Rahimi-Movaghar V, Shokraneh F, et al. Minimally invasive discectomy versus microdiscectomy/open discectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014; 9:CD010328.
58. Revel M, Payan C, Vallee C, et al. Automated percutaneous lumbar discectomy versus chemonucleolysis in the treatment of sciatica. A randomized multicenter trial. *Spine* 1993; 18(1): 1-7.
59. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Full-endoscopic cervical posterior foraminotomy for the operation of lateral disc herniations using 5.9-mm endoscopes: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine* 2008; 33(9):940-948.
60. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine* 2008; 33(9):931-939.
61. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Recurrent lumbar disc herniation after conventional discectomy: a prospective, randomized study comparing full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal versus microsurgical revision. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2009; 22(2):122-129.
62. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H et al. Full-endoscopic anterior decompression versus conventional anterior decompression and fusion in cervical disc herniations. *Int Orthop* 2009; 33(6):1677-1682.

63. Ryang YM, Oertel MF, Mayfrank L, et al. Standard open microdiscectomy versus minimal access trocar microdiscectomy: results of a prospective randomized study. *Neurosurgery*. Jan 2008; 62(1):174-181; discussion 181-172.
64. Shi R, Wang F, Hong X, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy versus microendoscopic
65. discectomy for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a meta-analysis. *Int Orthop*. Apr 2019; 43(4): 923-937.
66. Singh V, Benyamin RM, et al. Systematic review of percutaneous lumbar mechanical disc decompression utilizing Dekompressor. *Pain Physician* 2009; 12(3): 589-599.
67. Sinkemani A, Hong X, Gao ZX, et al. Outcomes of Microendoscopic Discectomy and Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Comparative Retrospective Study. *Asian Spine J*. Dec 2015; 9(6): 833-40.
68. Smith N, Masters J, Jensen C et al. Systematic review of microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation. *Eur Spine J* 2013; 22(11):2458-2465.
69. Song HP, Sheng HF, Xu WX. A case-control study on the treatment of protrusion of lumbar intervertebral disc through PELD and MED. *Exp Ther Med*. Oct 2017; 14(4):3708-3712.
70. Teixeira Alexandre, Grandinson Magnus and Sluijter Menno E. Pulsed radiofrequency for radicular pain due to a herniated intervertebral disc—an initial report. *Pain Practice*, June 2005, Vol. 5, No. 2.
71. Teli M, Lovi A, Brayda-Bruno M et al. Higher risk of dural tears and recurrent herniation with lumbar micro-endoscopic discectomy. *Eur Spine J* 2010; 19(3):443-450.
72. Tenenbaum S, Arzi H, Herman A et al. Percutaneous posterolateral transforaminal endoscopic discectomy: clinical outcome, complications, and learning curve evaluation. *Surg Technol Int* 2011; XXI: 278-283.
73. Thongtrangan Issada, Le Hoang, et al. Minimally invasive spinal surgery: A historical perspective. *Neurosurg Focus* 2004; 16(1).
74. Tu Z, Li YW, Wang B, et al. Clinical Outcome of Full-endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy for Single-level Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Minimum of 5-year Follow-up. *Pain Physician*. Mar 2017; 20(3): E425-E430.
75. Vorobeychik Y, Gordin V, Fuzaylov D et al. Percutaneous mechanical disc decompression using Dekompressor device: an appraisal of the current literature. *Pain Med* 2012; 13(5):640-646.
76. Wang F, Guo D, Sun T, et al. A comparative study on short-term therapeutic effects of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniation. *Pak J Med Sci*. Mar-Apr 2019; 35(2): 426-431.
77. Wang B, Lu G, Patel AA et al. An evaluation of the learning curve for a complex surgical technique: the full endoscopic interlaminar approach for lumbar disc herniations. *Spine J* 2011; 11(2):122-130.
78. Wang M, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. A 10-year follow-up study on long-term clinical outcomes of lumbar microendoscopic discectomy. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg*. Aug 2012; 73(4):195-198.

79. Yang L, Liao XQ, Zhao XJ, et al. Comparison of surgical outcomes between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and micro-endoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation. *China J Endosc.* 2015;21(9):962-965.
80. Yoon SM, Ahn SS, Kim KH, et al. Comparative Study of the Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Microscopic Lumbar Discectomy Using the Tubular Retractor System Based on the VAS, ODI, and SF-36. *Korean J Spine.* Sep 2012; 9(3): 215-22.
81. Yu, PP, Qiang, HH, Zhou, JJ, Huang, PP. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy versus Micro-Endoscopic Discectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation.. *Med. Sci. Monit.*, 2019 Mar 31;25:2320-2328.
82. Xu J, Li Y, Wang B, et al. Minimum 2-Year Efficacy of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy versus Microendoscopic Discectomy: A Meta-Analysis. *World Neurosurg.* Feb 26 2020; 138: 19-26.
83. Zhao XW, Han K, Ji ZW, et al. Comparison of efficacy between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Prog Mod Biomed.* 2016;16(23):4454-4457.
84. Wu YM, Bai M, Yin HP, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between two kinds of minimally invasive procedures for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. *J Pract Orthop.* 2018;24(4):357-360.

POLICY HISTORY:

Adopted for Blue Advantage, March 2005
 Available for comment May 1-June 14, 2005
 Medical Policy Group, August 2005
 Medical Policy Group, March 2006
 Available for comment March 25-May 8, 2006
 Medical Policy Group, January 2007
 Medical Policy Group, January 2009
 Medical Policy Group, January 2010
 Medical Policy Group, December 2011
 Medical Policy Group, January 2012
 Medical Policy Group, September 2013
 Available for comments September 19 through November 2, 2013
 Medical Policy Group, March 2014
 Medical Policy Group, May 2015
 Medical Policy Group, April 2016
 Medical Policy Group, April 2017
 Medical Policy Group, February 2018
 Medical Policy Group, April 2020: Reinstated policy effective March 24, 2020.
 Medical Policy Group, August 2020

This medical policy is not an authorization, certification, explanation of benefits, or a contract. Eligibility and benefits are determined on a case-by-case basis according to the terms of the member's plan in effect as of the date services are rendered. All medical policies are based on (i) research of current medical literature and (ii) review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease as of the date hereof. Physicians and other providers are solely responsible for all aspects of medical care and treatment, including the type, quality, and levels of care and treatment.

This policy is intended to be used for adjudication of claims (including pre-admission certification, pre-determinations, and pre-procedure review) in Blue Cross and Blue Shield's administration of plans contracts.