BlueCross BlueShield of Alabama

Name of Blue Advantage Policy:

Adoptive Immunotherapy

Policy #: 105
Category: Therapy

Latest Review Date: November 2019
Policy Grade: B

BACKGROUND:

Blue Advantage *medical policy does not conflict with Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs), Local Medical Review Policies (LMRPs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or with coverage provisions in Medicare manuals, instructions or operational policy letters. In order to be covered by Blue Advantage the service shall be reasonable and necessary under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, Section 1862(a)(1)(A). The service is considered reasonable and necessary if it is determined that the service is:*

1. *Safe and effective;*
2. *Not experimental or investigational*;*
3. *Appropriate, including duration and frequency that is considered appropriate for the service, in terms of whether it is:*
   - *Furnished in accordance with accepted standards of medical practice for the diagnosis or treatment of the patient's condition or to improve the function of a malformed body member;*
   - *Furnished in a setting appropriate to the patient's medical needs and condition;*
   - *Ordered and furnished by qualified personnel;*
   - *One that meets, but does not exceed, the patient's medical need; and*
   - *At least as beneficial as an existing and available medically appropriate alternative.*

Routine costs of qualifying clinical trial services with dates of service on or after September 19, 2000 which meet the requirements of the Clinical Trials NCD are considered reasonable and necessary by Medicare. Providers should bill* ***Original Medicare* *for covered services that are related to* ***clinical trials*** *that meet Medicare requirements (Refer to Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual, Chapter 1, Section 310 and Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 32, Sections 69.0-69.11).*

POLICY:

Effective for dates of service on or after July 1, 2005:

Blue Advantage will treat **adoptive immunotherapy**, using adoptive cellular therapy (ACT) for the administration of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes, cytokine-induced killer (CIK) cells, lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL), or antigen-loaded autologous dendritic cells (ADC) as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational**.

Blue Advantage will treat **other applications of adoptive immunotherapy** as a **non-covered benefit** and as **investigational**

Note: **CAR-T Therapy** is addressed separately in medical policy *#675* Kymriah™ (tisagenlecleucel) and medical policy #676 Yescarta (axicabtagene ciloleucel).

Blue Advantage does not approve or deny procedures, services, testing, or equipment for our members. Our decisions concern coverage only. The decision of whether or not to have a certain test, treatment or procedure is one made between the physician and his/her patient. Blue Advantage administers benefits based on the members' contract and medical policies. Physicians should always exercise their best medical judgment in providing the care they feel is most appropriate for their patients. Needed care should not be delayed or refused because of a coverage determination.

DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE OR SERVICE:

The spontaneous regression of certain cancers (e.g., renal cell carcinoma, melanoma) supports the idea that a patient's immune system can delay tumor progression and, on rare occasions, can eliminate tumors altogether. These observations have led to research into various immunologic therapies designed to stimulate a patient's own immune system. Adoptive immunotherapy is a method of activating lymphocytes and/or other types of cells for the treatment of cancer and other diseases. Cells are removed from the patient, processed for some period of time, and then infused back into the patient.

Adoptive immunotherapy uses "activated" lymphocytes as a treatment modality. Both nonspecific and specific lymphocyte activation are used therapeutically. Nonspecific, polyclonal proliferation of lymphocytes by cytokines (immune system growth factors), also called autolymphocyte therapy, increases the number of activated lymphocytes.

T Lymphocytes and Killer Cells

Initially, this treatment was performed by harvesting peripheral lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells and activating them in vitro with the T-cell growth factor interleukin-2 (IL-2) and other cytokines. More recent techniques yield select populations of cytotoxic T-lymphocytes with specific reactivity to tumor antigens. Peripheral lymphocytes are propagated in vitro with antigen-presenting dendritic cells that have been pulsed with tumor antigens. Alternatively, innate tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) from the tumor biopsy are propagated in vitro with IL-2 and anti-CD3 antibody, a T-cell activator. Expansion of TIL for clinical use is labor

intensive and requires laboratory expertise. Only a few cancers are infiltrated by T cells in significant numbers; of these, TIL can be expanded in only approximately 50% of cases. These factors limit the widespread applicability of TIL treatment. Cytokine-induced killer (CIK) cells have recently been recognized as a new type of anti-tumor effector cells, which can proliferate rapidly in vitro, with stronger anti-tumor activity and broader spectrum of targeted tumor than other reported anti-tumor effector cells.

Cellular Therapy and Dendritic Cell Infusions
The major research challenge in adoptive immunotherapy is to develop immune cells with anti-tumor reactivity in quantities sufficient for transfer to tumor-bearing patients. In current trials, two methods are studied: adoptive cellular therapy (ACT) and antigen-loaded dendritic cell infusions.

ACT is "the administration of a patient's own (autologous) or donor (allogeneic) anti-tumor lymphocytes following a lymphodepleting preparative regimen." Protocols vary, but include these common steps:

1) lymphocyte harvesting (either from peripheral blood or from tumor biopsy)
2) propagation of tumor-specific lymphocytes in vitro using various immune modulators
3) selection of lymphocytes with reactivity to tumor antigens with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)
4) lymphodepletion of the host with immunosuppressive agents
5) adoptive transfer (i.e., transfusion) of lymphocytes back into the tumor-bearing host

Dendritic cell-based immunotherapy uses autologous dendritic cells (ADC) to activate a lymphocyte-mediated cytotoxic response against specific antigens in vivo. ADCs harvested from the patient are either pulsed with antigen or transfected with a viral vector bearing a common cancer antigen. The activated ADCs are then transfused back into the patient, where they present antigen to effector lymphocytes (CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and in some cases, B cells). This initiates a cytotoxic response against the antigen and against any cell expressing the antigen. In cancer immunotherapy, ADCs are pulsed with tumor antigens; effector lymphocytes then mount a cytotoxic response against tumor cells expressing these antigens.

In an attempt to further regulate the host immune system, recent protocols use various cytokines (e.g., IL-7 and IL-15 instead of IL-2) to propagate lymphocytes. Protocols also differ in the extent of host lymphodepletion induced prior to transfusing the lymphocytes to the tumor-bearing host.

Note: Allogeneic stem-cell transplantation following nonmyeloablative conditioning of the recipient (known as reduced-intensity conditioning or RIC) may also be referred to as "adoptive immunotherapy" in the literature. However, RIC stem-cell transplantation relies on a donor-versus-malignancy effect of donor lymphocytes, while the adoptive immunotherapy techniques described in this policy enhance autoimmune effects primarily. The use of RIC in stem-cell transplantation is discussed for specific cancers in individual policies related to stem-cell transplantation.

KEY POINTS:

The most recent literature search was performed through July 25, 2019.

Summary

Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes

For individuals with Epstein-Barr virus–associated cancers who receive cytotoxic T lymphocytes, the evidence includes 2 small, prospective noncomparative cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The cohort studies have shown a treatment response to infused cytotoxic T lymphocytes directed against cancer-associated viral antigens. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: large, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with *Cytomegalovirus*-associated cancers who receive cytotoxic T lymphocytes, the evidence includes a single case series. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. In the absence of an RCT comparing cytotoxic T lymphocytes with standard of care, no conclusions can be made. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Cytotoxic-Induced Killer Cells

For individuals with nasopharyngeal carcinoma who receive CIK cells, the evidence includes a single RCT. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The RCT reported a numerically favorable but statistically insignificant effect on progression-free survival and overall survival. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with renal cell carcinoma who receive CIK cells, the evidence includes multiple RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The largest of the RCTs reported statistically significant gains in progression-free survival and overall survival with CIK cell–based immunotherapy compared with interleukin-2 plus interferon-α-2. This body of evidence is limited by the context of the studies (non-U.S.) and choice of a nonstandard comparator. The other 2 RCTs have also reported response rates in favor of CIK therapy with inconsistent effect on survival. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with gastric cancer who receive CIK cells, the evidence includes a single nonrandomized prospective study. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The prospective cohort study reported statistically significant effect on disease-free survival and overall survival in favor of immunotherapy vs no immunotherapy. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with colorectal cancer who receive CIK cells, the evidence includes a single RCT. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Results of the RCT showed a statistically significant effect on overall survival in favor of immunotherapy vs chemotherapy alone. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with hepatocellular carcinoma who receive CIK cells, the evidence includes several RCTs. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Several RCTs from Asia have generally reported some benefits in response rates and/or survival. The results of a meta-analysis of these trials have also shown a statistically significant 41% reduction in the hazard of death, but there was considerable heterogeneity across the included studies. This body of evidence is limited by the context of the studies (non-U.S.), small sample sizes, heterogeneous treatment groups, and other methodologic weaknesses. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with non-small-cell lung cancer who receive CIK cells, the evidence includes multiple RCTs and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. A single systematic review of RCTs reported some benefits in median time to progression and median survival time. The included body of evidence trials in the systematic review is limited by the context of the studies (non-U.S.), small sample sizes, heterogeneous treatment groups, and other methodologic weaknesses. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes

For individuals with melanoma who receive tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, the evidence includes a single RCT. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality

of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Results of a small RCT have reported no difference in relapse or survival outcomes. Cohort studies in patients with refractory metastatic melanoma have demonstrated response rates of 49% with immunotherapy and 52% to 72% with no immunotherapy. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Dendritic Cells

For individuals with glioblastoma multiforme who receive dendritic cells, the evidence includes a systematic review of observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Because of the observational and noncomparative nature of the available evidence, it is difficult to draw any meaningful conclusions. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with non-small-cell lung cancer who receive dendritic cells, the evidence includes 2 RCTs and a meta-analysis. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The RCTs have generally reported some benefits in response rates and/or survival. The results of a meta-analysis of these trials also reported a statistical significant reduction in the hazard of death. Most trials were from Asia and did not use standard of care as the control arm. This body of evidence is limited by the context of the studies (non-U.S.), small sample sizes, heterogeneous treatment groups, and other methodologic weaknesses. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with medullary thyroid cancer who receive dendritic cells, the evidence includes one prospective noncomparative study. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. A small prospective noncomparative study in 10 medullary thyroid cancer patients treated with autologous dendritic cells has been published. There are no RCTs comparing dendritic cell–based adoptive immunotherapy with standard of care and, therefore, no conclusions can be made. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with pancreatic cancer who receive dendritic cells, the evidence includes a small prospective noncomparative study. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific

survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The study reported on treatment outcomes for 5 patients with pancreatic cancer. Because of the noncomparative nature of the available evidence and small sample base, it is difficult to draw any meaningful conclusions. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Genetically Engineered T Cells

Peripheral T Lymphocytes

For individuals with cancers who receive autologous peripheral T lymphocytes containing tumor antigen-specific T-cell receptors, the evidence includes multiple small observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Multiple observational studies have examined autologous peripheral T lymphocytes containing tumor antigen-specific T-cell receptors in melanoma, Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma, prostate tumors, and neuroblastoma. Because of the noncomparative nature of the available evidence with a small sample size, it is difficult to draw any meaningful conclusion. To establish efficacy, the following is needed: larger, well-conducted, multicentric trials with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight, and the use of an appropriate standard of care as the control arm showing treatment benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Current clinical practice guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) do not include recommendations for adoptive immunotherapy to treat cancers of the bladder central nervous system, head and neck, hepatobiliary system, kidney, pancreas, stomach, or thyroid; melanoma, or non-small cell lung cancer.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force has not addressed the treatment of adoptive immunotherapy.

KEY WORDS:

Adoptive immunotherapy (AI), lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cell therapy, tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) therapy, autolymphocyte therapy (ALT), leukophoresis, and interleukin-2 (IL-2), cytotoxic T-lymphocytes, genetically engineered T-cells, cytokine-induced killer cells, T-Cell Receptor Therapy, TCR, adoptive cellular therapy, ACT, CIK, antigen-loaded autologous dendritic cells, ADC.

APPROVED BY GOVERNING BODIES:

Adoptive immunotherapy is not a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-regulated procedure.

BENEFIT APPLICATION:

Coverage is subject to member's specific benefits. Group specific policy will supersede this policy when applicable.
ITS: Home Policy provisions apply
FEP contracts: FEP does not consider investigational if FDA approved and will be reviewed for medical necessity.

CURRENT CODING:

HCPCS codes:

S2107	Adoptive immunotherapy, i.e., development of specific anti-tumor reactivity (e.g., tumor infiltrating lymphocyte therapy) per course of treatment

REFERENCES:

1. Antony PA, Piccirillo CA, Akpinarli A et al. CD8+ T cell immunity against a tumor/self-antigen is augmented by CD4+ T helper cells and hindered by naturally occurring T regulatory cells. J Immunol 2005; 174(5):2591-601.
2. Bachleitner-Hofmann T, Friedl J, Hassler M et al. Pilot trial of autologous dendritic cells loaded with tumor lysate(s) from allogeneic tumor cell lines in patients with metastatic medullary thyroid carcinoma. Oncol Rep 2009; 21(6):1585-92.
3. Bajwa R, Schechter T, Soni S, et al. Outcome of children who experience disease relapse following allogeneic hematopoietic SCT for hematologic malignancies. Bone Marrow Transplant. May 2013; 48(5):661-665.
4. Bedrosian I, Mick R, Xu S et al. Intranodal administration of peptide-pulsed mature dendritic cell vaccines results in superior CD8+ T-cell function in melanoma patients. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21(20):3826-35.
5. Berry DA, Zhou S, Higley H, et al. Association of minimal residual disease with clinical outcome in pediatric and adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. Jul 13 2017; 3(7):e170580.
6. Bollard CM, Gottschalk S, Torrano V, et al. Sustained complete responses in patients with lymphoma receiving autologous cytotoxic T lymphocytes targeting Epstein-Barr virus latent membrane proteins. J Clin Oncol. Mar 10 2014; 32 (8):798-808.
7. Bregy A, Wong TM, Shah AH et al. Active immunotherapy using dendritic cells in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme. Cancer Treat Rev 2013; 39(8):891-907.
8. Brentjens RJ, Davila ML, Riviere I, et al. CD19-targeted T cells rapidly induce molecular remissions in adults with chemotherapy-refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Sci Transl Med. Mar 20 2013; 5 (177):177ra138.
9. Bukowski, Ronald M. Biologictherapy.Org: Renal cell carcinoma: Interleukin-2 and adoptive immunotherapy.
10. Cai XR, Li X, Lin JX, et al. Autologous transplantation of cytokine-induced killer cells as an adjuvant therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma in Asia: an update meta-analysis and systematic review. Oncotarget. May 09 2017; 8(19):31318-31328.

11. Chang AE, Li Q, Jiang G et al. Phase II trial of autologous tumor vaccination, anti-CD3-activated vaccine-primed lymphocytes and interleukin-2 in stage IV renal cell cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21(5):884-90.
12. Chen R, Deng X, Wu H, et al. Combined immunotherapy with dendritic cells and cytokine-induced killer cells for malignant tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Immunopharmacol. Oct 2014; 22 (2):451-464.
13. Cheson BD, Fisher RI, Barrington SF, et al. Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: the Lugano classification. J Clin Oncol. Sep 20 2014;32(27):3059-3068.
14. Chia WK, Teo M, Wang WW, et al. Adoptive T-cell transfer and chemotherapy in the first-line treatment of metastatic and/or locally recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Mol Ther. Jan 2014; 22 (1):132-139.
15. Chung MJ, Park JY, Bang S, et al. Phase II clinical trial of ex vivo-expanded cytokine-induced killer cells therapy in advanced pancreatic cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother. Sep 2014; 63 (9):939-946.
16. Cui J, Wang N, Zhao H, et al. Combination of radiofrequency ablation and sequential cellular immunotherapy improves progression-free survival for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Cancer. Jan 15 2014; 134 (2):342-351.
17. Curiel-Lewandrowski, Clara and Demierre, Marie-France. Advances in specific immunotherapy of malignant melanoma, Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, August 2000, Vol. 43, No. 2.
18. Dreno B, Nguyen JM, Khammari A et al. Randomized trial of adoptive transfer of melanoma tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes as adjuvant therapy for stage III melanoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2002; 51(10):539-46.
19. Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR, Yang JC, et al. Adoptive cell transfer therapy following non-myeloablative but lymphodepleting chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with refractory metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol, April 2005; 23(10): 2346-2357.
20. Dudley ME and Rosenberg SA. Adoptive cell transfer therapy. Semin Oncol, December 2007; 34(6): 524-531.
21. Dudley ME, Yang JC, Sherry R et al. Adoptive cell therapy for patients with metastatic melanoma: evaluation of intensive myeloablative chemoradiation preparative regimens. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26(32):5233-9.
22. Ehtesham M, Black Keith L and Yu John S. Recent progress in immunotherapy for malignant glioma: Treatment strategies and results from clinical trials. Cancer Control 2004; 11(3): 192-207.
23. Figlin RA, Thompson JA, Bukowski RM et al. Multicenter, randomized, phase III trial of CD8+ tumor- infiltrating lymphocytes in combination with recombinant interleukin-2 in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17(8):2521-9.
24. Gattinoni L, Finkelstein SE, Klebanoff CA et al. Removal of homeostatic cytokine sinks by lymphodepletion enhances the efficacy of adoptively transferred tumor-specific CD8+ T cells. J Exp Med 2005; 202(7):907-12.
25. Haas, Gabriel P. Update on the role of immunotherapy in the management of kidney cancer, Cancer Control Journal: Tumor Biology, Vol. 3, No. 6.
26. Hayes RL, Arbit E, Odaimi M et al. Adoptive cellular immunotherapy for the treatment of malignant gliomas. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2001; 39(2-Jan):31-42.

27. Hirooka Y, Itoh A, Kawashima H et al. A combination therapy of gemcitabine with immunotherapy for patients with inoperable locally advanced pancreatic cancer. Pancreas 2009; 38(3):e69-74.
28. Hoffman, D.M. Adoptive cellular therapy, Seminars in Oncology, April 1, 2000; 27(2): 221-33. (Abstract)
29. Hontscha C, Borck Y, Zhou H et al. Clinical trials on CIK cells: first report of the international registry on CIK cells (IRCC). J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2011; 137(2):305-10.
30. Humphries C. Adoptive cell therapy: Honing that killer instinct. Nature. Dec 19 2013; 504 (7480):S13-15.
31. Hunger SP, Mullighan CG. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children. N Engl J Med. Oct 15 2015; 373(16):1541-1552.
32. Jeha S, Gaynon PS, Razzouk BI, et al. Phase II study of clofarabine in pediatric patients with refractory or relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. Apr 20 2006; 24(12):1917-1923.
33. Johnson LA, Morgan RA, Dudley ME et al. Gene therapy with human and mouse T-cell receptors mediates cancer regression and targets normal tissues expressing cognate antigen. Blood 2009; 114(3):535-46.
34. Kalos M, Levine BL, Porter DL, et al. T cells with chimeric antigen receptors have potent antitumor effects and can establish memory in patients with advanced leukemia. Sci Transl Med. Aug 10 2011; 3 (95):95ra73.
35. Kaufman, H.L. Immunotherapy for pancreatic cancer: Current concepts, Hematology Oncology Clinics of North America, February 1, 2002; 16(1): 159-97. (Abstract)
36. Kirschner, D. and Panetta, J.C. Modeling immunotherapy of the tumor immune interaction, Journal of Mathematical Biology, 1998; 37: 235-252.
37. Khammari A, Labarriére N, Vignard V et al. Treatment of metastatic melanoma with autologous Melan-A/Mart-1-specific cytotoxic T lymphocyte clones. J Invest Dermatol 2009; 129(12):2835-42.
38. Khammari A, Nguyen JM, Pandolfino MD, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients treated by adoptive transfer of melanoma tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes as adjuvant therapy for stage III melanoma. Cancer Immunol Immunother, November 2007; 56(11): 1853-1860.
39. Kimura H, Iizasa T, Ishikawa A, Shingyouji M, et al. Prospective phase II study of post-surgical adjuvant chemo-immunotherapy using autologous dendritic cells and activated killer cells from tissue culture of tumor-draining lymph nodes in primary lung cancer patients. Anticancer Res, Mar-Apr 2008; 28(2B): 1229-1238.
40. Knutson, K.L. Adoptive T-cell therapy for the treatment of solid tumors. Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy, January 1, 2002; 2(1): 55-66. (Abstract)
41. Kobari M, Egawa S, Shibuya K et al. Effect of intraportal adoptive immunotherapy on liver metastases after resection of pancreatic cancer. Br J Surg 2000; 87(1):43-8.
42. Kondo H, Hazama S, Kawaoka T, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy for pancreatic cancer using MUC1 peptide-pulsed dendritic cells and activated T lymphocytes. Anticancer Res, Jan-Feb 2008; 28(1B): 379-387.
43. Lacy MQ, Wettstein P, Gastineau DA et al. Dendritic cell-based idiotype vaccination in post-transplant multiple myeloma. Blood 1999; 94(10 suppl part 1):122a.
44. Lee JH, Lee JH, Lim YS, et al. Adjuvant immunotherapy with autologous cytokine-induced killer cells for hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology. Jun 2015; 148(7):1383-1391 e1386.

45. Leplina OY, Stupak, VV, Kozlov, YP, et al. Use of interferon-alpha-induced dendritic cells in the therapy of patients with malignant brain gliomas. Bull Exp Biol Med, April 2007; 143(4): 528-534.
46. Li JJ, Gu MF, Pan K et al. Autologous cytokine-induced killer cell transfusion in combination with gemcitabine plus cisplatin regimen chemotherapy for metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Immunother 2012; 35(2):189-95.
47. Liau LM, Ashkan K, Tran DD, et al. First results on survival from a large Phase 3 clinical trial of an autologous dendritic cell vaccine in newly diagnosed glioblastoma. J Transl Med. May 29 2018; 16 (1):142.
48. Liu L, Zhang W, Qi X et al. Randomized study of autologous cytokine-induced killer cell immunotherapy in metastatic renal carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2012; 18(6):1751-9.
49. Mackensen A, Meidenbauer N, Vogl S, et al. Phase I study of adoptive T-cell therapy using antigen-specific CD8+ T cells for the treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol, November 2006; 24(31): 5060-5069.
50. Margolin, K.A. Interleukin-2 in the treatment of renal cancer, Seminars in Oncology. April 1, 2000; 27(2); 194-203. (Abstract)
51. Maude SL, Teachey DT, Porter DL, et al. CD19-targeted chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. Jun 25 2015; 125(26):4017-4023.
52. Morgan RA, Dudley ME, Wunderlich JR et al. Cancer regression in patients after transfer of genetically engineered lymphocytes. Science, October 2006; 314(5796): 126-9.
53. Morse, M.A. Current status of adoptive immunotherapy of malignancies, Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy, March 1, 2002; 2(3): 237-47. (Abstract)
54. Motta MR, Castellani S, Rizzi S et al. Generation of dendritic cells from CD14+ monocytes positively selected by immunomagnetic adsorption for multiple myeloma patients enrolled in a clinical trial of anti-idiotype vaccination. Br J Haematol 2003; 121(2):240-50.
55. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Available online at: www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/f_guidelines.asp#site.
56. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Version 4.2017. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/all.pdf. A
57. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: bladder cancer, Version 4.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bladder.pdf.
58. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: central nervous system cancers, Version 1.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cns.pdf.
59. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: gastric cancer, Version 2.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf.
60. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: head and neck cancers, Version 1.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf.
61. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: hepatobiliary cancers, Version 2.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hepatobiliary.pdf.

62. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Hodgkin lymphoma, version 1.2017. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hodgkins.pdf.
63. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: kidney cancer, Version 3.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/kidney.pdf.
64. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: melanoma, Version 4.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/melanoma.pdf.
65. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: non-Hodgkin's lymphomas, version 2.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nhl.pdf.
66. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: non-small cell lung cancer, version 4.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf.
67. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: pancreatic adenocarcinoma, Version 1.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf.
68. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: thyroid carcinoma, version 1.2018. www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf.
69. Ngo MC, Rooney CM, Howard JM, et al. Ex vivo gene transfer for improved adoptive immunotherapy of cancer. Hum Mol Genet 2011; 20(R1):R93-9.
70. Ochi T, Fujiwara H, Yasukawa M. Requisite considerations for successful adoptive immunotherapy with engineered T-lymphocytes using tumor antigen-specific T-cell receptor gene transfer. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2011; 11(6):699-713.
71. Oelke M, Krueger C, and Schneck JP. Technological advances in adoptive immunotherapy. Drugs of Today 2005; 41(1): 13-21.
72. Ohtani T, Yamada Y, Furuhashi A, et al. Activated cytotoxic T-lymphocyte immunotherapy is effective for advanced oral and maxillofacial cancers. Int J Oncol. Nov 2014; 45 (5):2051-2057.
73. Pinthus JH, Waks T, Malina V et al. Adoptive immunotherapy of prostate cancer bone lesions using redirected effector lymphocytes. J Clin Invest 2004; 114(12):1774-81.
74. Plautz, G.E. Adoptive immunotherapy of CNS malignancies. Cancer Chemotherapy and Biological Response Modifiers, January 1, 2001; 19:327-38.
75. Plautz GE, Miller DW, Barnett GH, et al. T cell adoptive immunotherapy of newly diagnosed gliomas. Clin Cancer Res. 2000; 6 (6):2209-2218.
76. Powell DJ Jr, Dudley ME, et al. Adoptive transfer of vaccine0-induced peripheral blood mononuclear cells to patients with metastatic melanoma following lymphodepletion. J Immunol, November 2006; 177(9): 6527-6539.
77. Pui CH, Carroll WL, Meshinchi S, et al. Biology, risk stratification, and therapy of pediatric acute leukemias: an update. J Clin Oncol. Feb 10 2011; 29(5):551-565.
78. Pule MA, Savoldo B, Myers GD, et al. Virus-specific T cells engineered to coexpress tumor-specific receptors: persistence and antitumor activity in individuals with neuroblastoma. Nat Med 2008; 14(11):1264-70.

79. Rosenberg SA, Lotze MT, Yang JC et al. Prospective randomized trial of high-dose interleukin-2 alone or in conjunction with lymphokine-activated killer cells for the treatment of patients with advanced cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993; 85(8):622-32.
80. Rosenberg SA, RestifoNP, Yang JC et al. Adoptive cell transfer: a clinical path to effective cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2008; 8(4):299-308.
81. Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Sherry RM et al. Durable complete responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer immunotherapy. Clin Cancer Res 2011; 17(13):4550-7.
82. Santin AD, Bellone S, Palmieri M et al. Induction of tumor-specific cytotoxicity in tumor infiltrating lymphocytes by HPV16 and HPV18 E7-pulsed autologous dendritic cells in patients with cancer of the uterine cervix. Gynecol Oncol 2003; 89(2):271-80.
83. Savoldo B, Rooney CM, Di Stasi A et al. Epstein Barr virus specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes expressing the anti-CD30zeta artificial chimeric T-cell receptor for immunotherapy of Hodgkin disease. Blood 2007; 110(7):2620-30.
84. Schuessler A, Smith C, Beagley L, et al. Autologous T-cell therapy for cytomegalovirus as a consolidative treatment for recurrent glioblastoma. Cancer Res. Jul 1 2014; 74(13):3466-3476.
85. Semino, C., et al. Adoptive immunotherapy of advanced solid tumors: An eight year clinical experience, Anticancer Research. Nov-Dec 1999; 19(6C): 5645-9.
86. Shi L, Zhou Q, Wu J et al. Efficacy of adjuvant immunotherapy with cytokine-induced killer cells in patients with locally advanced gastric cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2012; 61(12):2251-9.
87. Shi SB, Ma TH, Li CH et al. Effect of maintenance therapy with dendritic cells: cytokine-induced killer cells in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Tumori 2012; 98(3):314-9.
88. Straten P, Becker JC. Adoptive cell transfer in the treatment of metastatic melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 2009; 129(12):2743-5.
89. Su Z, Dannull J, Heiser A et al. Immunological and clinical responses in metastatic renal cancer patients vaccinated with tumor RNA-transfected dendritic cells. Cancer Res 2003; 63(9):2127-33.
90. Takayama T, Sekine T, Makuuchi M et al. Adoptive immunotherapy to lower postsurgical recurrence rates of hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised trial. Lancet 2000; 356(9232):802-7.
91. Tallen G, Ratei R, Mann G, et al. Long-term outcome in children with relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia after time-point and site-of-relapse stratification and intensified short-course multidrug chemotherapy: results of trial ALL-REZ BFM 90. J Clin Oncol. May 10 2010; 28(14):2339-2347.
92. Tang X, Liu T, Zang X et al. Adoptive cellular immunotherapy in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013; 8(5):e62847.
93. Tanyi JL, Chu CS. Dendritic cell-based tumor vaccinations in epithelial ovarian cancer: a systematic review. Immunotherapy 2012; 4(10):995-1009.
94. Thiounn T, Pages F, Mejean A et al. Adoptive immunotherapy for superficial bladder cancer with autologous macrophage activated killer cells. J Urol 2002; 168(6):2373-6.
95. Till BG, Jensen MC, Wang J et al. Adoptive immunotherapy for indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma and mantel cell lymphoma using genetically modified autologous CD20-specific T cells. Blood 2008; 112(6):2261-71.

96. Timmerman JM, Czerwinski DK, Davis TA et al. Idiotype-pulsed dendritic cell vaccination for B-cell lymphoma: clinical and immune response in 35 patients. Blood 2002; 99(5):1517-29.
97. Triozzi PL, Khurram R, Aldrich WA et al. Intratumoral injection of dendritic cells derived in vitro in patients with metastatic cancer. Cancer 2000; 89(12):2646-54.
98. U.S Food & Drug Administration. Hematology/Oncology (Cancer) Approvals & Safety Notifications. FDA approves tisagenlecleucel for B-cell ALL and tocilizumab for cytokine release syndrome. Available at: www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ApprovedDrugs/ucm279174.htm.
99. U.S Food & Drug Administration. Hematology/Oncology (Cancer) Approvals & Safety Notifications. FDA approves axicabtagene ciloleucel for large B-cell lymphoma. Available at: www.fda.gov/Drugs/InformationOnDrugs/ApprovedDrugs/ucm581296.htm.
100. von Stackelberg A, Locatelli F, Zugmaier G, et al. Phase I/phase II study of blinatumomab in pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. Dec 20 2016; 34(36):4381-4389.
101. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Highlights of prescribing information. Yescarta. Available at: www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/UCM581226.pdf
102. Wang M, Cao JX, Pan JH, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy of cytokine-induced killer cell therapy in the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS One. 2014; 9(11):e112662.
103. Wang X, Tang S, Cui X, et al. Cytokine-induced killer cell/dendritic cell-cytokine-induced killer cell immunotherapy for the postoperative treatment of gastric cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Sep 2018;97(36):e12230.
104. Xie F, Zhang X, Li H et al. Adoptive immunotherapy in postoperative hepatocellular carcinoma: a systemic review. PloS One 2012; 7(8):e42879.
105. Yang L, Ren B, Li H et al. Enhanced antitumor effects of DC-activated CIKs to chemotherapy treatment in a single cohort of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2013; 62(1):65-73.
106. Yee Cassian. Adoptive T-cell therapy of cancer. Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America 2006; 20: 711-733.
107. Yu X, Zhao H, Liu L, et al. A randomized phase II study of autologous cytokine-induced killer cells in treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Immunol. Feb 2014; 34 (2):194-203.
108. Zhang Y, Wang J, Wang Y, et al. Autologous CIK cell immunotherapy in patients with renal cell carcinoma after radical nephrectomy. Clin Dev Immunol. 2013; 2013: 195691.
109. Zhang G, Zhao H, Wu J, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer by NK and cytotoxic T lymphocytes mixed effector cells: retrospective clinical observation. Int Immunopharmacol. Aug 2014; 21 (2):396-405.
110. Zhao H, Wang Y, Yu J, et al. Autologous cytokine-induced killer cells improves overall survival of metastatic colorectal cancer patients: results from a phase II clinical trial. Clin Colorectal Cancer. Sep 2016; 15(3):228-235.
111. Zhao X, Zhang Z, Li H, et al. Cytokine induced killer cell-based immunotherapies in patients with different stages of renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Lett. Jul 1 2015; 362(2):192-198.

112. Zhong JH, Ma L, Wu LC et al. Adoptive immunotherapy for postoperative hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review. Int J Clin Pract 2012; 66(1):21-7.

POLICY HISTORY:

Adopted for Blue Advantage, March 2005
Available for comment May 1-June 14, 2005
Medical Policy Group, April 2007
Medical Policy Group, January 2009
Medical Policy Group, June 2011
Medical Policy Group, March, 2012
Medical Policy Group, September 2013
Medical Policy Group, January 2014
Medical Policy Group, December 2014
Medical Policy Group, January 2016
Medical Policy Group, March 2016
Medical Policy Group, August 2017
Medical Policy Group, October 2017
Medical Policy Group, November 2017
Medical Policy Group, December 2017
Medical Policy Group, August 2018 **(2)**: Updates to Key Points and References; no change in policy statement.
Medical Policy Group, March 2019
Medical Policy Group, November 2019